IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Retrospective Theses and Dissertations

Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations

1981

Thiocarbonyl complexes of iron

Jan Wallace Dunker *Iowa State University*

Follow this and additional works at: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd Part of the Inorganic Chemistry Commons

Recommended Citation

Dunker, Jan Wallace, "Thiocarbonyl complexes of iron " (1981). *Retrospective Theses and Dissertations*. 6900. https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/6900

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Retrospective Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.



INFORMATION TO USERS

This was produced from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technological means to photograph and reproduce this document have been used, the quality is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help you understand markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

- 1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure you of complete continuity.
- 2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark it is an indication that the film inspector noticed either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, or duplicate copy. Unless we meant to delete copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed, you will find a good image of the page in the adjacent frame. If copyrighted materials were deleted you will find a target note listing the pages in the adjacent frame.
- 3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed the photographer has followed a definite method in "sectioning" the material. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
- 4. For any illustrations that cannot be reproduced satisfactorily by xerography, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and tipped into your xerographic copy. Requests can be made to our Dissertations Customer Services Department.
- 5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases we have filmed the best available copy.

University Microfilms International

300 N. ZEEB RD., ANN ARBOR, MI 48106

DUNKER, JAN WALLACE

THIOCARBONYL COMPLEXES OF IRON

Iowa State University

PH.D. 1981

University Microfilms International 300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106

Thiocarbonyl complexes of iron

by

1.1.1

Jan Wallace Dunker

A Dissertation Submitted to the Graduate Faculty in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

> Department: Chemistry Major: Inorganic Chemistry

Approved:

Signature was redacted for privacy.

In'Charge of Major\Work

Signature was redacted for privacy.

For the Major Department

Signature was redacted for privacy.

For the Graduate College

Iowa State University Ames, Iowa

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	vi
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	2
•	A. Carbon Monosulfide	2
	B. Thiocarbonyl Complexes	4
	C. Cyclopentadienyliron Carbonyl Complexes	17
III.	EXPERIMENTAL	27
	A. General	27
	B. Spectra	27
·	C. Reagents	28
	D. Photolysis	28
	E. Preparation and Reactions of Complexes	29
IV.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	71
	A. Preparation of [CpFe(CO) ₂ (CS)] ⁺	71
	B. Preparation of [CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂	73
	C. Reactions of [CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂	87
	D. Reactions of [CpFe(CO) ₂ (CS)] ⁺	101
	E. Reactions of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF ₃ SO ₃	106
	F. Reactions of CpFe(CO)(CS)I	111
	G. Reactions of [CpFe(CS)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]PF ₆	114
	H. Miscellaneous Reactions	123

۷.	CONCLUSIONS		126
VI.	SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY		129
VII.	BIBLIOGRAPHY		131
VTTT.	ACKNOWI EDGEMENTS		139

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.	Selected molecular properties of CS and CO	3
Table 2.	Infrared, ¹ H NMR, and ¹³ C NMR spectral data for <u>cis</u> - and <u>trans</u> -[CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂	75
Table 3.	Selected interatomic distances (Å) for <u>cis</u> - [CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂	84
Table 4.	Selected interatomic angles (°) for <u>cis</u> -[CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂	85
Table 5.	Infrared and ¹ H NMR spectra of [Cp ₂ Fe ₂ (CO) ₂ (CS)(CS- CH ₃)]PF ₆	90
Table 6.	The effect of MX upon the [CpFe(CO)(CS)X]/[CpFe(CO) ₂ X] ratio for the reaction:	
	$[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3 + MX \xrightarrow{IHF} CpFe(CO)(CS)X$	
	+ CpFe(CO) ₂ X	102
Table 7.	Infrared and ¹ H NMR spectra of CpFe(CO)(CS)X complexes	107
Table 8.	Infrared spectra of [CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF ₃ SO ₃ complexes	109
Table 9.	¹ H NMR spectra of [CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF ₃ SO ₃ complexes	110
Table 10	. Infrared and ¹ H NMR spectra of the CpFe(CS)(L)I complexes	112
Table 11	. Infrared and ¹ H NMR spectra of [CpFe(CS)(CH ₃ CN)(L)]PF ₆ complexes	117
Table 12	 Infrared and ¹H NMR spectra of [CpFe(CS)(L)₂]X complexes 	118
Table 13	. Infrared and $^1{\rm H}$ NMR spectra of the CpFe(CS) $_2{\rm X}$ complexes in CS $_2$	120
Table 14	. ¹³ C NMR spectra of some cyclopentadienylthiocarbonyl complexes of iron	124

LIST OF FIGURES

			Page
Figure	1.	The cis and trans isomers of [CpFe(CO) ₂] ₂	19
Figure	2.	The cis and trans isomers of [CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂	76
Figure	3.	<pre>Isomerization of [CpFe(CO)2] by the Adams-Cotton mechanism</pre>	78
Figure	4.	Isomerization of [CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂ . Scheme A	80
Figure	5.	Isomerization of [CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂ . Scheme B	81
Figure	6.	Isomerization of [CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂ . Scheme C	82
Figure	7.	A computer-generated drawing of the <u>cis</u> - [CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂ molecule	83
Figure	8.	<u>cis</u> -[Cp ₂ Fe ₂ (CO)(CS)(CS-CH ₃)] ⁺	91
Figure	9.	<pre>Proposed structure of {[CpFe(CO)(CS)]2Br}⁺</pre>	96
Figure	10.	Proposed structure of <u>cis,cis</u> -[Cp ₂ Fe ₂ (CO)(CS) ₂] ₂	100

acac	acetylacetonato ligand
arene `	n ⁶ -arene ligand
bipy	2,2'-bipyridine
Bu	butyl
Ср	n ⁵ -cyclopentadienyl ligand
diphos	l,2-bis(diphenylphosphine)ethane
Et	ethyl
L	a donor ligand
L-L	a bidentate donor ligand
M	a metal atom
Me	methyl
phen	1,10-phenanthroline
φ	phenyl
ру	pyridine
R	an organic substituent group
S	a coordinating solvent molecule
THF	tetrahydrofuran
TMS	tetramethylsilane
X	a halogen or a uninegative anion

I. INTRODUCTION

The first thiocarbonyl complex was reported by Baird and Wilkinson in 1966 (1). In the intervening years, the area of thiocarbonyl chemistry has blossomed, and indeed now over 100 compounds containing the thiocarbonyl, CS, ligand have been prepared. The first carbonyl complex, $[Pt(C0)Cl_2]_2$, was reported in 1868 (2), almost a full century prior to the discovery of the first thiocarbonyl complex. And the chemistry of carbonyl complexes is extensive.

Why then, was there this disparity in the time of discovery, and also in the number of compounds, between carbonyl, CO, and thiocarbonyl, CS, complexes? The thiocarbonyl ligand is a simple permutation of the carbonyl ligand, and is isoelectronic and isomorphic with it. However, the properties of carbon monoxide and carbon monosulfide, the natural precursors of carbonyl and thiocarbonyl complexes, are strikingly different. Whereas carbon monoxide is a stable gas at ordinary temperatures and pressures, and thus can be readily used in synthetic procedures, carbon monosulfide is unstable under these conditions. And therein lies the crux of the disparity. Thiocarbonyl complexes must be prepared by indirect methods.

II. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

This review of the literature is not intended to be comprehensive. There have been several fairly recent reviews of the chemistry of thiocarbonyl complexes (3,4,5) which extensively cover the early work. Therefore, the literature coverage herein is intended to be merely representative, with particular emphasis on the recent literature.

Before the chemistry of thiocarbonyl complexes is discussed, it may be fruitful to examine some of the properties of carbon monosulfide.

A. Carbon Monosulfide

Carbon monosulfide was first discovered in 1910 (6), produced from gaseous CS_2 by high-frequency discharge. In the intervening years, there have been numerous spectroscopic studies of the CS molecule; however, it is only relatively recently that quantities sufficient to study the chemical properties of CS have been generated (7,8).

Carbon monosulfide has been generally generated from CS_2 by 1) pyrolysis, 2) thermal decomposition, or 3) electrical discharge (9). It has also been produced from CS_2 by other methods such as reaction with O(10) or S(11) atoms, or with Ar and Xe metastable atoms (12). It has also been produced from other sources, such as COS and Cl_2CS . Carbon monosulfide has been found in the upper atmosphere (13) and in interstellar space (14).

Carbon monosulfide can be condensed at -190°C (along with CS_2 and C_3S_2) from the product of the high-frequency discharge of CS_2 . It is

relatively stable at this temperature; however, upon warming it "polymerizes" (sometimes explosively). The product of this "polymerization" is not a simple $(CS)_n$ polymer -- CS can disproportionate to C_3S_2 and S -- but appears to be carbon rich. The stability of carbon monosulfide is quite variable, depending among other things on the partial pressure, temperature, dimensions of the vessel, and the surface condition of the vessel (15). Lifetimes of a few minutes are attained in clean vessels, but once a wall coating forms, the lifetime is reduced drastically.

Although carbon monosulfide is unstable, spectroscopic studies have determined a few of its molecular properties, some of which are given in Table 1 (along with the corresponding values for carbon monoxide).

Property	CS	Reference	CO	Reference
Dipole Moment, μ, (D, -CS +)	1,958	(10,17)	0.112	(16)
Internuclear Distance, (Å)	1.535	(18)	1.128	(19)
v(CX), (cm ⁻¹) Force Constant (mdyne/Å)	1274 (¹ 8.4	² c ³² s) (7) (7)	2143 19.0	(19) (19)
Dissociation Energy, D (kcal/mole)	166	(11)	256	(20)
Ionization Potential, (eV)	11.34	(21)	14.01	(19)
Bond Order	2.2	(7)	3	· · · ·

Table 1. Selected molecular properties of CS and CO

The chemical reactions of carbon monosulfide have been studied somewhat, since methods to isolate macroscopic quantities of CS have been developed. Carbon monosulfide reacts with halogens in stoichiometric amounts to form SCX_2 (X = Cl, Br, I) (7). With excess halogen, X_3CSX (X = Cl, Br) is formed (8). Carbon monosulfide will also react with Se and Te to form SCSe and SCTe (22), respectively, and with HCl and HBr to form HXCS, which rapidly trimerizes (8). The reaction between atomic oxygen and CS produces vibrationally excited CO (23), which is the basis for the CO chemical laser.

The chemical behavior of carbon monosulfide has been described as that of a weak Lewis base (8), which seems to fit better than its previous description as an electrophilic carbene (7).

B. Thiocarbonyl Complexes

1. Metal-thiocarbonyl bonding

Molecular orbital calculations on the CS molecule (24) have indicated that CS is both a better π -acceptor, because of the lower energy of the CS π^* orbitals, and a better σ donor than CO. Molecular orbital calculations have also been performed on the thiocarbonyl complexes Cr(CO)₅CS and CpMn(CO)₂CS, and compared to their photoelectron spectra (25). The studies indicate that in addition to serving as a σ -donor and π -acceptor CS may also serve as a π -donor.

Spectroscopic studies have been conducted to investigate the nature and strength of the metal-thiocarbonyl bond. A Mössbauer study of the $[CpFe(CO)_2(L)]^+$ complexes (26) has indicated that CS is a better

 π -acceptor than CO. The integrated infrared intensities of CpMn(CO)₂(CS) (27) also indicate that CS is a better π -acceptor. A comparison of the infrared stretching frequencies and force constants for numerous metal thiocarbonyl complexes and their carbonyl analogs suggests that the π -acceptor to (σ + π)-donor ratio varies more for CS than for CO (28).

Carbon-13 NMR resonances of the CS ligand in metal thiocarbonyl complexes (29,30,31) occur at extremely low field, -288 to -337 ppm downfield from TMS. This low chemical shift has been explained in terms of a $^{\delta+}C=S^{\delta-}$ resonance structure stabilized by metal-thiocarbonyl π back-bonding (29). Another feature of the carbon-13 NMR spectra is the upfield shift of the CO resonances in metal-thiocarbonyl (carbonyl) complexes. This contrasts with the normal downfield shift which occurs when CO is substituted by other ligands, <u>i.e.</u>, PR₃ and P(OR)₃, and offers further evidence that CS is a better π -acceptor than CO.

Mass spectra of metal-thiocarbonyl complexes offer further evidence that the M-CS bond is stronger than the M-CO bond (32,33). The CS ligand is among the last fragments to be cleaved from the metal atom, and it occurs only after cleavage of the CO ligands.

The X-ray crystal structures of a few metal-thiocarbonyl complexes containing terminal (34) and ketonic-bridging (35,36) thiocarbonyl ligands here have been determined. In general, the M-CX distances in terminal thiocarbonyl ligands are shorter than M-CO distances, indicative of a stronger metal-ligand bond. In the case of the bridging-thiocarbonyl complexes, the shortening of the metal-metal

distance compared to that in the analogous carbonyl complex argues for increased metal-CS interaction.

Thus, all the evidence indicates that CS does form stronger M-CX bonds than CO.

2. Synthesis of thiocarbonyl complexes

a. <u>Thiocarbonyl complexes from free carbon monosulfide, CS</u> There has been little success in the attempts to prepare thiocarbonyl complexes from carbon monosulfide. Attempts to displace CO from $Fe(CO)_5$ (8, 37), Ni(CO)₄ (8), and Cr(CO)₆ (37) or P ϕ_3 from RhCl(P ϕ_3)₃ (8) have been unsuccessful. One thiocarbonyl complex, Ni(CS)₄, prepared by the cocondensation of Ni atoms and CS in an argon matrix, has been reported (38).

b. Thiocarbonyl complexes prepared from coordinated CS_2 by

<u>cleavage of a C-S bond</u> The majority of thiocarbonyl complexes have been prepared by cleavage of a C-S bond from a coordinated CS_2 ligand. Usually, the C-S bond cleavage has to be assisted, typically with $P\phi_3$ -- which may already be present in the molecule or as an added reagent. The C-S bond cleavage may also be effected by first methylating the complexed CS_2 to form a coordinated C(S)SMe⁺ ligand, which can then be converted to the CS ligand by removal of methanethiol, MeSH, upon reaction with H⁺.

A few reactions illustrating these methods of generating the CS ligand are:

$$RhC1(P\phi_3)_3 + CS_2 \xrightarrow{MeOH} RhC1(CS)(P\phi_3)_2$$
 (1) [1]

$$IrC1(P_{\phi_3})_3 + CS_2 + P_{\phi_3} \xrightarrow{MeOH} IrC1(CS)(P_{\phi_3})_2$$
 (39) [2]

 $RuCl(CS_2Me)(CO)(P\phi_3)_2 + HCl \longrightarrow RuCl_2(CS)(CO)(P\phi_3)_2 \quad (43) [5]$

A reaction of this latter mode of CS generation is the attack on a cationic $M(CS_2Me)$ complex with $NaBH_4$, which forms a thiocarbonyl complex upon heating by 1,2-elimination of MeSH:

Application of the reaction in equation 3 to CpMn(CO)(CS)(cis-cyclooctene) gives $CpMn(CO)(CS)_2$, until the present work one of two known dithiocarbonyl complexes:

 $CpMn(CO)(CS)(\underline{cis}-cyclooctene) + CS_2/P\phi_3 \longrightarrow CpMn(CO)(CS)_2$ (40) [7] Further application of this reaction to $CpMn(CS)_2(cis-cyclooctene)$ gives spectroscopic evidence for the formation of $CpMn(CS)_3$ (40). c. <u>Thiocarbonyl complexes prepared by reaction with thiophosgene</u>, Cl₂CS The Group VIB thiocarbonyls, $M(CO)_5$ CS (M = Cr, Mo and W) have been obtained by reaction of the dimeric carbonyl anions, $M_2(CO)_{10}^{2-}$ (produced by sodium amalgam reduction of $M(CO)_6$) with thiophosgene:

$$M_2(CO)_{10}^{2-} + C1_2CS \longrightarrow M(CO)_5CS$$
 (45,46) [8]

This reaction gives low yields of $M(CO)_5CS$ (usually <10%) contaminated with large amounts of $M(CO)_6$. Only the tungsten thiocarbonyl, $W(CO)_5CS$, could be obtained in significant amounts (10-15%).

Recently, the first thiocarbonyl complex of metaloporphyrin was obtained by reaction of thiophosgene with a reducing agent and $Fe^{II}(TPP)$ (TPP is the diamion of meso-tetraphenylporphyrin) (47):

$$Fe^{II}(TPP) + Cl_2CS + Fe/(MeS)_2CS \longrightarrow Fe^{II}(TPP)(CS)$$
 [9]

Thiophosgene has also been used to prepare $IrCl_3(CS)(P\phi_3)_2$, IrCl(CO)(CS)(P ϕ_3)₂, and RhCl₃(CS)(P ϕ_3)₃ by three-fragment oxidative addition to $Ir(P\phi_3)_2(N_2)Cl$, $[Ir(P\phi_3)_2(CO)(CH_3CN)]PF_6$ and Rh(P ϕ_3)₃Cl, respectively (48).

d. <u>Thiocarbonyl complexes prepared by reaction with alkoxy</u> <u>derivatives of thiophosgene</u> The cyclopentadienyldicarbonylthiocarbonyliron(II) cation, $[CpFe(C0)_2(CS)]^+$, was first prepared by the reaction of Na[CpFe(C0)_2] with ClC(S)OEt followed by acid cleavage (49):

$Na[CpFe(CO)_2] + C1C(S)OEt \longrightarrow CpFe(CO)_2C(S)OEt$

[CpFe(CO)₂(CS)]⁺

[10]

However, a later method (<u>vide ante</u>) gives a greater yield of the product (41,42). Methyl chlorothioformate has also been used to produce [<u>trans-PtCl(P ϕ_3)_2CS]⁺</u> from Pt(P ϕ_3)₄ (50).

The first direct insertion of CS into a M-C(S)-M bridging position has recently been achieved by use of the reagent, diphenyl thiono-carbonate (51):

$$2[CpFe(CO)_{2}]^{-} + (\phi O)_{2}CS \longrightarrow Cp_{2}Fe_{2}(CO)_{3}(CS) \qquad [11]$$

3. Reactions of thiocarbonyl complexes

a. <u>Reactions at the metal center</u> Since the carbonyl and thiocarbonyl ligands are isoelectronic and their analogous compounds are isostructural, it would seem that thiocarbonyl complexes should react similarly to their carbonyl analogs. To a certain extent, this is true. Reactions at the metal center differ more by degree than type.

Thus, metal thiocarbonyl complexes undergo ligand substitution reactions similar to their carbonyl analogs. Inasmuch as most thiocarbonyl complexes also contain carbonyl ligands, and in view of the stronger M-CX bond of the thiocarbonyl ligand it is not surprising that the carbonyl ligand is preferentially displaced in these reactions. Both thermal and photochemical loss of the CO ligand have been

demonstrated. Ligand substitution by halide abstraction has also been shown. A few reactions illustrating these modes of ligand substitution follow:

$$CpMn(CO)_{2}CS + \underline{cis} - cyclooctene \xrightarrow{hv} CpMn(CO)(CS)(C_{8}H_{14})$$

$$\downarrow P\phi_{3}$$

$$CpMn(CO)(CS)(P\phi_{3}) \quad (52) [12]$$

$$[CpFe(CO)_{2}(CS)]PF_{6} + 2CN\phi \longrightarrow [CpFe(CS)(CN\phi)_{2}]PF_{6} \quad (53) [13]$$

$$CpMn(CO)_2CS + P(OR)_3 \xrightarrow{mv} CpMn(CO)(CS)P(OR)_3$$
 (54) [14]

$$[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{CS})\operatorname{Cl}_2(\operatorname{P\phi}_3)_2]_2 + \operatorname{3P\phi}_2(\operatorname{OR}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{CS})\operatorname{Cl}_2\{\operatorname{P\phi}_2(\operatorname{OR})\}_3 \quad (55) [15]$$

acetone
trans-RhC1(CS)(P
$$\phi_3$$
)₂ + AgC10₄ ----> [{Rh(acetone)(CS)(P ϕ_3)₂}C10₄]
py

$$[Rh(py)(CS)(P\phi_3)_2]C10_4$$
 (56) [16]

$$IrC1(CS)(P_{\phi_3})_2 + LiC_6F_5 \longrightarrow Ir(C_6F_5)(CS)(P_{\phi_3})_2$$
 (57) [17]

$$RhC1(CS)(P\phi_3)_2 + NaCp \longrightarrow CpRh(CS)(P\phi_3)$$
(58) [18]

$$(C_{6}H_{5}CO_{2}CH_{3})Cr(CO)_{2}CS + P(OEt)_{3} \xrightarrow{hv}$$

 $(C_{6}H_{5}CO_{2}CH_{3})Cr(CO)(CS)P(OEt)_{3}$ (59) [19]

$$(arene)Cr(CO)_2CS + 3CO \longrightarrow Cr(CO)_5CS$$
 (60) [20]

$$Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3CS + PEt_3 \xrightarrow{\Delta} Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)PEt_3$$
 (61) [21]

$W(CO)_5 CS + I \longrightarrow [\underline{trans} - W(CO)_4 (CS)I]$ (46) [22]

The reaction shown in equation [20] is a better method for the preparation of $Cr(CO)_5CS$ than the method in equation [8]. Reaction [22] is unusual in its stereospecificity; only the trans isomer is obtained due to the labilizing effect of the CS ligand (or it may be the more stable isomer due to π -bonding). The reaction shown in equation [21] is the first substitution reaction of a bridging thiocarbonyl complex, and the first where substitution occurs slower than in the analogous carbonyl complex.

Substitution reactions of cyclopentadienyl and arene thiocarbonyl complexes (<u>e.g.</u>, [12], [14] and [19]) produce an asymmetric center at the metal atom. Indeed, the enantiomers of $(C_6H_5CO_2Me)Cr(CO)(CS)P(0\phi)_3$ have been resolved (62).

Kinetic studies on substitution reactions of thiocarbonyl complexes (16,63) confirm that they undergo CO replacement easier than their thiocarbonyl analogs.

In oxidative-addition reactions, thiocarbonyl complexes also behave similar to their carbonyl analogs:

$$CpRh(CS)P\phi_3 + X_2 \longrightarrow [CpRh(CS)(P\phi_3)X]X \qquad (58) [23]$$

$$CpIr(CS)P\phi_3 + HC1 \longrightarrow [CpIrH(CS)(P\phi_3)]C1$$
(58) [24]

$$Ir(C_{6}Cl_{5})(CS)(P\phi_{3})_{2} + HC1 \iff [Ir(C_{6}Cl_{5})(C1)H(CS)(P\phi_{3})_{2}](57) [25]$$

 $W(CO)_5 CS + 1)Br_2 + 2)2P\phi_3 \longrightarrow W(CO)_2(CS)(P\phi_3)_2Br_2$ (64) [26]

The $W(CO)_2(CS)(P\phi_3)_2Br_2$ produced in the last reaction does not undergo loss of CO upon heating as does the analogous $W(CO)_3(P\phi_3)_2Br_2$. The authors suggest that this may be due to structural factors. If CO is lost in the carbonyl analog from the face capping position, then if the CS ligand occupies this site in the thiocarbonyl complex, its stronger M-CX bond might preclude thermal loss.

The oxidative-addition of MeI to the thiocarbonyl complexes $[Ir(C_6F_5)(CS)(P\phi_3)_2]$ and $[CpM(CS)(P\phi_3)]$ (M = Rh or Ir) produces the unusual thiocarbene ligand, M-CMe(SMe) (57,58). The authors suggest that the mechanism involves (1) oxidative-addition of one MeI molecule to the complex, (2) methyl migration to form a thioacetyl complex, and (3) electrophilic attack at the sulfur atom by a second molecule of MeI. In support of this mechanism, they have isolated the complex, $[CpIr(CH_2CN)(CS)(P\phi_3)]^+$, from the reaction of C1CH₂CN with [CpIr(CS)($P\phi_3$)] and the complex, [IrMe(Cl)I(CS)($P\phi_3$)₂], from the reaction of MeI with [IrCl(CS)($P\phi_3$)₂]. Also, addition of the Lewis base HgCl₂ to these complexes, $[Ir(C_6F_5)(CS)(P\phi_3)_2]$ and $[CpM(CS)(P\phi_3)]$ (M = Rh or Ir), occurs at the metal, not at the sulfur, to produce the complexes, $[Ir(C_6F_5)Cl(CS)(HgCl)(P\phi_3)_2]$ and $[CpM(CS)(HgCl_2)(P\phi_3)]$ (M = Rh or Ir). Thus, only metal thiocarbonyl complexes with v(CS)values less than 1200 cm^{-1} have been observed to undergo electrophilic attack at the sulfur atom (vide infra).

Recently, there have appeared in the literature a few examples of intramolecular thiocarbonyl insertion reactions. Effaty <u>et al</u>. (65) have presented evidence for the reactions:

$$CpMn(CS)(NO)(n-C_4F_7) + P\phi_3 \longrightarrow CpMn(NO)(P\phi_3)C(S)C_4F_7 \qquad [27]$$

$$CpMn(CS)(NO)SCF_3 + P\phi_3 \longrightarrow CpMn(NO)(P\phi_3)C(S)SCF_3$$
[28]

Collins and Roper [66] have demonstrated the stepwise reduction of the thiocarbonyl ligand:

$$OsH(C1)(CS)(P\phi_3)_3 + CO \longrightarrow OsC1(CHS)(CO)_2(P\phi_3)_2$$
[29]

$$OsC1(CHS)(CO)_2(P\phi_3)_2 + BH_4 \longrightarrow Os(\eta^2 - CH_2S)(CO)_2(P\phi_3)_2$$
 [30]

$$0s(n^{2}-CH_{2}S)(CO)_{2}(P\phi_{3})_{2} + HC1 \longrightarrow 0sC1(SMe)(CO)_{2}(P\phi_{3})_{2}$$

$$\downarrow HC1$$

$$0sC1_{2}(CO)_{2}(P\phi_{3})_{2} + CH_{3}SH [31]$$

In that paper, synthetic routes to formyl (Os-CHO), iminoformyl (Os-CHNMe₂), and secondary carbene (Os-CHSMe, Os-CHNMe₂, and Os-CHOMe) complexes were also demonstrated. Intramolecular insertion of σ -aryl-thiocarbonyl complexes to n^2 -thioacyl complexes has been shown (67):

The dihapto-thioformy] and -thioacy] ligands are similar to the n^2 -dithiomethyl ester complexes of osmium and ruthenium, $\{M[n^2-C(S)SMe](CO)_2(P\phi_3)_2\}^+$ (M = Ru or Os), which have been prepared previously by Grundy <u>et al</u>. (43).

The synthesis and reactions of these new thiocarbonyl containing alkyl, aryl, and hydrido complexes may portend a new expansion in the area of thiocarbonyl catalysis. The complexes obtained from the stepwise reduction of the thiocarbonyl ligand may serve as models for intermediates in the Fischer-Tropsch reaction. Also, the development and resolution of enantiomeric thiocarbonyl complexes may provide complexes for the catalysis of asymmetric organic reactions.

b. <u>Nucleophilic attack at the thiocarbonyl carbon atom</u> A few metal thiocarbonyl complexes have been observed to be susceptible to attack by nucleophiles at the carbon atom of the CS ligand. The attack on the thiocarbonyl carbon atom may be rationalized on the basis of a $^{\delta+}C=S^{\delta-}$ resonance structure as postulated by Bodner (29), or the reaction may be frontier controlled as postulated by Lichtenberger and Fenske (25).

The thiocarbonyl complexes that have been shown to undergo nucleophilic attack at the thiocarbonyl carbon atom are $[CpFe(C0)_2(CS)]^+$ (68), $[CpFe(C0)(CS)(L)]^+$ (53), $[CpRu(C0)_2(CS)]^+$ (69), $W(C0)_5CS$ (70), $CpFe(C0)(CS)Sn\phi_3$ (61), CpW(C0)(CS)N0 and $CpW(C0)_2(CS)M\phi_3$ (71), and $PtCl(P\phi_3)_2(CS)^+$ (50). A few representative examples of these reactions are listed below:

$$[CpFe(CO)_{2}(CS)]^{+} \xrightarrow{N_{3}^{-}} CpFe(CO)_{2}(NCS) + N_{2}$$

$$\underbrace{OEt-}_{NCO^{-}} CpFe(CO)_{2}C(S)OR \qquad (68) [33]$$

$$\underbrace{NCO^{-}}_{NCO^{-}} CpFe(CO)_{2}CN + COS$$

$$[CpFe(CO)(CS)(P\phi_{3})]^{+} \xrightarrow{MeNH_{2}} [CpFe(CO)(CNMe)(P\phi_{3})]^{+} (53) [34]$$

$$[CpRu(CO)_{2}(CS)]^{+} \xrightarrow{NH_{2}NH_{2}} CpRu(CO)_{2}NCS + NH_{3}$$
 (69) [35]

$$W(CO)_{5}CS \xrightarrow{RNH_{2}} W(CO)_{5}(CRN)$$

$$(70) [36]$$

$$W(CO)_{5}(SC(H)NR_{2})$$

$$CpFe(CO)(CS)Sn\phi_{3} + H_{2}N \longrightarrow CpFe(CO)(C, N_{H_{2}})Sn\phi_{3} (61) [37]$$

u

$$CpW(CO)(CS)NO + MeNH_2 \longrightarrow CpW(CO)(CNMe)NO$$
(71) [38]

$$[PtC1(P\phi_3)_2(CS)]^+ + H_20 \longrightarrow [PtC1(P\phi_3)_2(CO)]^+ + H_2S \quad (50) [39]$$

Recently, another example of nucleophilic attack on the thiocarbonyl carbon atom has appeared in the literature (72):

$$\frac{\text{trans}-[\text{Ir}(P\phi_3)_2(CS)(N_3)] + CO \longrightarrow}{\frac{\text{trans}-[\text{Ir}(P\phi_3)_2(CO)(NCS)] + N_2}$$
[40]

while this reaction might also be included with the ligand substitution reactions (<u>vide ante</u>), it is included here because of the nature of the final products.

Although nucleophilic attack at the thiocarbonyl carbon atom has been demonstrated with these complexes, it remains to be seen if this reaction is general and occurs with all thiocarbonyl complexes. c. Electrophilic attack at the thiocarbonyl sulfur atom Thiocarbonyl ligands in metal thiocarbonyl complexes which are sufficiently electron-rich to give v(CS) values lower than 1200 cm⁻¹ have been shown to be susceptible to electrophilic attack at the thiocarbonyl sulfur atom. Although there is spectral evidence for the complex CpMn(CO)₂CSMn(CO)₂Cp (73), whose "parent" compound, CpMn(CO)₂(CS), has a v(CS) of 1267 cm⁻¹. Both terminal-thiocarbonyl complexes -- $W(CO)_2(diphos)_2CS (64), \frac{trans}{Imas}[IW(CO)_4CS]^- (64), and [CpW(CO)_2CS]^- (71) -- and bridging-thiocarbonyl complexes -- [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ (35)$ and Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₃CS (51,74) -- have been shown to undergo electrophilicaddition by alkylating agents:

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{W}(\mathsf{CO})(\mathsf{diphos})_2\mathsf{CS} + \mathsf{MeOSO}_2\mathsf{F} \longrightarrow [\mathsf{W}(\mathsf{CO})(\mathsf{diphos})_2\mathsf{CS}-\mathsf{Me}]\mathsf{SO}_3\mathsf{F}(\mathsf{64})[\mathsf{40}] \\ & \underline{\mathsf{trans}}-[\mathsf{IW}(\mathsf{CO})_4\mathsf{CS}]^- + [\mathsf{Et}_3\mathsf{O}]\mathsf{BF}_4 \longrightarrow \underline{\mathsf{trans}}-\mathsf{IW}(\mathsf{CO})_4\mathsf{CS}-\mathsf{Et} \quad (\mathsf{64}) \quad [\mathsf{41}] \\ & [\mathsf{CpW}(\mathsf{CO})_2\mathsf{CS}]^- + \mathsf{MeI} \longrightarrow \mathsf{CpW}(\mathsf{CO})_2\mathsf{CS}-\mathsf{Me} \qquad (71) \quad [\mathsf{42}] \\ & [\mathsf{CpFe}(\mathsf{CO})(\mathsf{CS})]_2 + \mathsf{MeOSO}_2\mathsf{F} \longrightarrow [\mathsf{Cp}_2\mathsf{Fe}_2(\mathsf{CO})_2(\mathsf{CS})\mathsf{CS}-\mathsf{Me}]\mathsf{SO}_3\mathsf{F}(\mathsf{35})[\mathsf{43}] \\ & \mathsf{Cp}_2\mathsf{Fe}_2(\mathsf{CO})_3\mathsf{CS} + [\mathsf{Et}_3\mathsf{O}]\mathsf{BF}_4 \longrightarrow [\mathsf{Cp}_2\mathsf{Fe}_2(\mathsf{CO})_3\mathsf{CS}-\mathsf{Et}]\mathsf{BF}_4 \quad (\mathsf{51},\mathsf{74}) \quad [\mathsf{44}] \end{split}$$

These reactions of terminal-thiocarbonyl complexes with organic electrophiles give products which are examples of complexes containing the mercaptocarbyne ligand, $CS-R^+$. In addition to these reactions with organic electrophiles, electron-rich thiocarbonyl complexes also form addition compounds with Lewis acids -- as in $[W(CO)(diphos)_2CS-W(CO)_5$ (64), $W(CO)(diphos)_2CS-HgCl_2$ (64), and $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3CS-HgCl_2$ (61).

d. <u>Reactions forming bridging thiocarbonyl complexes</u> The first reported bridging thiocarbonyl complex was $W(CO)(diphos)_2CS-W(CO)_5$ (64) (<u>vide ante</u>), an example of an "end-to-end" bridging thiocarbonyl ligand. "Ketonic" bridging thiocarbonyl complexes -- [CpMn(NO)(CS)]₂ (65,75), [CpM(CO)(CS)]₂ (M = Fe and Ru) (35,69) -- have been prepared by the following methods:

2
$$[CpM(CO)_2(CS)]^+$$
 + NaH \longrightarrow $[CpM(CO)(CS)]_2$ (35,69) [46]
(M = Fe and Ru)

These complexes along with the aforementioned $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3CS$ are the only known examples of ketonic-bridging thiocarbonyl complexes. In all cases, the thiocarbonyl ligand seems to prefer the bridging position; this has been confirmed by the X-ray crystal structure determinations of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ (35) and $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3CS$ (36).

C. Cyclopentadienyliron Carbonyl Complexes

Since this thesis concerns the preparation and properties of cyclopentadienyliron thiocarbonyl complexes, it is fitting that a short review of the literature on the chemistry of cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl complexes should be included.

1. The cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl dimer, $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$

The starting material for cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl complexes is the cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl dimer, $[CpFe(C0)_2]_2$, as it is

readily available from commercial sources and is fairly inexpensive. The dimer can also be easily prepared by refluxing iron pentacarbonyl, Fe(CO)₅, and dicyclopentadiene, $C_{10}H_{12}$ (76).

The cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl dimer exists in solution as a mixture of the cis and trans isomers (see Figure 1). The ratio of the isomer concentrations in solution is dependent on the solvent, with the trans isomer being more prevalent in nonpolar solvent, and the cis isomer more prevalent in polar solvents. Adams and Cotton (77) have proposed a mechanism involving simultaneous carbonyl bridge breaking, rotation about the metal-metal bond, and carbonyl bridge reformation to account for this rapid cis-trans isomerization (this mechanism will be discussed in more detail in conjunction with the isomerization of $cis, trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ under Results and Discussion). The X-ray crystal structures of both the cis (78) and the trans (79) isomers of [CpFe(CO)₂]₂ have been determined.

There are several reactions such as ligand substitution in which the dimeric form of the complex is retained. The cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl dimer, $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, reacts with phosphites (80), phosphines (80), and amines (81) to give the monosubstituted complexes $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3L$. The dimer also reacts with diphosphines (82), diarsines (82), and disulfides (83) to give the dimeric complexes $[CpFe(CO)(L)]_2$, where L is a three electron bridging group, $-PR_2$, $-AsR_2$ or -SR. The latter reactions can be viewed as homolytic cleavages of both reactants which then combine to form $CpFe(CO)_2PR_2$, for example. This monomeric complex then loses CO to give the dimeric product, $[CpFe(CO)PR_2]_2$. It



cis-[CpFe(CO)₂]₂



trans-[CpFe(C0)2]

Figure 1. The cis and trans isomers of $[CpFe(C0)_2]_2$

is worthwhile to note that the $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3L$ complexes have bridging carbonyl ligands and a formal metal-metal bond, while the $[CpFe(CO)MR_n]_2$ complexes have no metal-metal bond and are bridged by the P, As or S ligands.

Another type of reaction of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ is that involving oxygenbonded adduct formation by the bridging carbonyl ligand with Lewis acids. One-to-one adducts, $[CnFe(CO)_2]_2 \cdot MX_3$, are formed with BX₃ (84), while both 1:1 and 1:2 adducts are formed with AlX₃ and AlR₃ (85).

An interesting reaction of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ which deserves to be mentioned is the formation of the cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl tetramer, $[CpFe(CO)]_4$. When $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ is refluxed in xylene (86), or refluxed and irradiated with ultra-violet light (87), the tetrameric species $[CpFe(CO)]_4$ is formed. The structure of the tetramer consists of a tetrahedron of iron atoms which are capped on the faces of the tetrahedron by triply-bridging carbonyl ligands and on the vertices by the cyclopentadienyl ligands.

The cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl tetramer is a very stable structure. It can undergo oxidation by bromine to the +3 oxidation state, forming $[CpFe(CO)]_4Br_3$ (86), or reduction to $[CpFe(CO)]_4^-$ (88) without cleaving the tetrameric structure. Lewis acid adducts with the triply-bridging carbonyl ligands -- analogous to those of the dimer, $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ -- can also be formed (87).

2. Cleavage reactions of [CpFe(CO)₂]₂

a. Oxidative cleavage of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ One of the principle routes to cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl complexes is through oxidative cleavage of the dimer, $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$. Numerous oxidants -- such as Cl_2 (89), Br₂ (76), I₂ (76,90), O₂ (76,91,92), ϕ_3CBF_4 (93), Fe⁺³ (94,95), Hg⁺² (87) and Ag⁺¹ (96) -- have been used to produce monomeric CpFe(CO)₂X and $[CpFe(CO)_2(L)]^+$ complexes. Electrolytic oxidation (97) of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ has also been used to produce CpFe(CO)₂X and $[CpFe(CO)_2(L)]^+$.

The mechanism of the oxidation of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ by halogens has been studied (98) and is believed to occur by initial electrophilic attack by the halogen on the dimer forming the halide-bridged intermediate, $\{[CpFe(CO)_2]_2X\}^+$. This intermediate then can undergo nucleophilic attack by halide ions to give $CpFe(CO)_2X$, or undergo asymmetric cleavage to give $[CpFe(CO)_3]X$ (another of the observed products). Support for this mechanism is found in the isolation of $\{[CpFe(CO)_2]_2X\}B\phi_4$ from the low temperature halogenation of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ in the presence of $NaB\phi_4$.

b. Reductive cleavage of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ The second principle route to monomeric cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl complexes is reductive cleavage of the dimer. The classic method is to use sodium-amalgam to reduce the dimer to the sodium salt of the cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl anion, Na[CpFe(CO)_2] (76). However, there has been a report that contamination with mercury derivatives, such as [CpFe(CO)_2]_2Hg, may occur using this method (99). Other reductants such as $NaK_{2,8}$ (100), C_8K (101), Mg/BrCH₂CH₂Br (102), and Mg/Hg/py (103) have been used to produce this anion, [CpFe(CO)₂]⁻, or other reduced species, CpFe(CO)₂MgBr and [CpFe(CO)₂]₂Mg(py)₂, in the later two cases.

c. Other cleavage reactions of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ In other reactions, what might be termed homolytic cleavage of the dimer seems to occur. For instance, photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ in chlorinated solvents gives $CpFe(CO)_2Cl (104)$. The product appears to result from the homolytic cleavage of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ and RCl to form $CpFe(CO)_2$ • and Cl• radicals, which then combine. Indeed, the $CpFe(CO)_2$ • radical has been identified in a spin-trapping experiment (105). Also, homolytic cleavage may occur in the reaction of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ with HSiCl₃, producing $CpFe(CO)_2SiCl_3$ among other products (106).

The "insertion" of Sn(II) compounds in the metal-metal bond of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, <u>i.e.</u>,

 $[CpFe(C0)_2]_2 + SnC1_2 \longrightarrow [CpFe(C0)_2]_2SnC1_2$ (107) [47]

may be viewed as another type of cleavage reaction.

3. Reactions of monomeric cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl complexes

a. Reactions of $CpFe(CO)_2 X$ The reactions of $CpFe(CO)_2 X$ fall into two basic types: (1) reactions involving loss of a carbonyl ligand and (2) reactions involving loss of the X ligand (usually a halide ion). The cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl halides, $CpFe(CO)_2X$ (X = Cl, Br or I), react with neutral phosphines, PR_3 , or phosphites, $P(OR)_3$, by either thermal or photochemical routes to yield the neutral substituted cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl halides, CpFe(CO)(L)X, or the substituted cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl cations, $[CpFe(CO)_2(L)]X$ (108,109). The tendency to form the cationic product increases with increasing basicity of the ligand, and also with changes of the halide (I<Br<Cl). The kinetics of the reaction of the $CpFe(CO)_2X$ complexes with phosphites, $P(OR)_3$, have been studied, and suggest that this reaction, forming CpFe(CO)(L)X, proceeds by a dissociative S_N 1 type mechanism (110). Reaction of other $CpFe(CO)_2X$ complexes (X = SnR_3 and SiR_3) with phosphines has also been shown to give CpFe(CO)(L)Xcomplexes -- and in some cases $CpFe(L)_2X$ complexes (111,112).

The second major set of $CpFe(CO)_2X$ reactions involve the loss of the X⁻ ligand. In addition to the above reactions where the halide ion is displaced by a neutral ligand, the halide ion can be removed by a halogen acceptor such as AlCl₃ or by a metathesis reaction where the halogen is lost as a salt, typically NaX. For instance, $CpFe(CO)_2Br$ reacts with NaSR to yield the monomeric cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl mercaptide complexes, $CpFe(CO)_2SR$ (113). With the halogen acceptor AlX₃, $CpFe(CO)_2X$ reacts in the presence of neutral ligands such as ethylene (114), other olefins (115,116), and pyridine (117) to form $[CpFe(CO)_2(L)]^+$ complexes. The $[CpFe(CO)_2(olefin)]^+$ complexes recently have been shown to be readily prepared from $[CpFe(CO)_2(THF)]BF_4$, a reagent prepared by reaction of $CpFe(CO)_2I$ with $AgBF_4$ in tetrahydrofuran (118).

In the metathesis reaction of $CpFe(CO)_2Br$ with KCN, a carbonyl ligand is displaced in addition to the bromide ligand to yield the anionic complex, $K[CpFe(CO)(CN)_2]$ (119).

Some of the more interesting metathesis reactions of $CpFe(CO)_2X$, or CpFe(CO)(L)X, involve displacement of the halide ion through use of a Grignard reagent or organolithium compound to form a metal-carbon σ -bond.

$$CpFe(CO)(P\phi_{3})I + RLi \longrightarrow CpFe(CO)(P\phi_{3})R \qquad (120) [48]$$

$$CpFe(CO)_2C1 + CH_2=CHMgBr \longrightarrow CpFe(CO)_2-CH=CH_2$$
(121) [49]

Also, mixed metal carbonyl complexes can be obtained from reactions of $CpFe(CO)_2X$ with metal carbonyl anions.

$$CpFe(CO)_2I + Na[Co(CO)_4] \longrightarrow CpFe(CO)_2-Co(CO)_4$$
 (122) [50]

b. Reactions of $[CpFe(CO)_3]^+$ The tricarbonylcyclopentadienyliron cation, $[CpFe(CO)_3]^+$, and its substituted analogs, $[CpFe(CO)_2(L)]^+$, undergo three basic types of reactions: (1) ligand displacement, (2) nucleophilic attack at the carbonyl ligand, and (3) nucleophilic attack at the cyclopentadienyl ring.

In the ligand displacement reactions, $[CpFe(CO)_3]^+$ can react with neutral ligands such as pyridine to form substituted cations, $[CpFe(CO)_2(L)]^+$ (108), or with halide ions, X^- (X = C1, Br or I) to

form the neutral cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl halides, $CpFe(CO)_2X$ (123).

With nucleophiles such as N_3^- or NH_2NH_2 , $[CpFe(CO)_3]^+$ has been shown to undergo nucleophilic attack at the carbonyl ligand giving $CpFe(CO)_2NCO$ and $CpFe(CO)_2C(O)NHNH_2$, respectively (124).

Sodium borohydride (125,126) and organolithium reagents (126,127) give products which suggest attack at the metal atom with $[CpFe(CO)_3]^+$ and attack at the cyclopentadienyl ring with the substituted cation, $[CpFe(CO)_2(P\phi_3)]^+$:

$$[CpFe(CO)_3]^+ + NaBH_4 \longrightarrow CpFe(CO)_2H$$
 (125) [51]

$$[CpFe(CO)_2(P\phi_3)]^+ + NaBH_4 \longrightarrow (C_5H_6)Fe(CO)_2(P\phi_3)$$
 (125) [52]

With the organolithium reagent, $\text{LiC}_{6}F_{5}$, $\text{CpFe(CO)}_{2}\text{C}_{6}F_{5}$ and $\text{CpFe(CO)}_{2}\text{C(0)}\text{C}_{6}F_{5}$ are the products of its reaction with $[\text{CpFe(CO)}_{3}]^{+}$, while $(\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{5}\text{C}_{6}F_{5})\text{Fe(CO)}_{2}(\text{P}\phi_{3})$ is the product from its reaction with $[\text{CpFe(CO)}_{2}(\text{P}\phi_{3})]^{+}$ (126,127).

c. Reactions of $[CpFe(CO)_2]^-$ The reactions that $Na[CpFe(CO)_2]$ undergo can all be described as metathesis reactions. Reaction with an organic or inorganic halide, E-X, causes displacement of the halide ion as NaX and formation of the new complex, $CpFe(CO)_2E$. A few illustrative reactions follow:

$$[CpFe(CO)_{2}]^{-} + EtX \longrightarrow CpFe(CO)_{2}Et \qquad (128) [53]$$

$$[CpFe(CO)_2]^- + Hg(CN)_2 \longrightarrow [CpFe(CO)_2]Hg \qquad (129) [54]$$

 $[CpFe(CO)_2]^- + CH_3C(0)C1 \longrightarrow CpFe(CO)_2C(0)CH_3 \qquad (130) [55]$ $[CpFe(CO)_2]^- + Cl_2SnEt_2 \longrightarrow [CpFe(CO)_2]_2SnEt_2 \qquad (131) [56]$

III. EXPERIMENTAL

A. General

Unless stated otherwise, all reactions were performed under an atmosphere of prepurified nitrogen that was passed through indicating Drierite prior to use. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from LiAlH₄ or $NaK_{2.8}$ (under nitrogen) prior to use. All solvents were reagent grade. Acetone and anhydrous ethyl ether were used as received, while all other solvents were stored over 4A molecular sieves.

Elemental analyses were performed by either Chemalytics, Inc. or Galbraith Laboratories, Inc.

B. Spectra

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 337 or 237B grating spectrophotometer using either 1.0 mm or 0.1 mm pathlength cells. In general, the spectra of neutral complexes, or those run in nonpolar solvents (<u>i.e.</u>, hexanes, CCl₄, CS₂, CHCl₃ or CH₂Cl₂) were recorded with the 1.0 mm pathlength cells. The spectra of ionic complexes, or those run in polar solvents (<u>i.e.</u>, CH₂Cl₂, acetone or acetonitrile) were recorded with the 0.1 mm pathlength cells. Positions of the infrared absorption peaks were determined by expansion with an external recorder, and were calibrated in the carbonyl region with CO gas and in the thiocarbonyl region with polystyrene. The peak positions are believed accurate to within 2 cm⁻¹.
In the infrared spectra of cationic complexes, the absorption due to the anions, either $CF_3SO_3^-$ (1269 vs; 1224 m; 1160 br, m, and 1033 vs cm⁻¹) or PF_6^- (880 w and 845 vs cm⁻¹), are omitted for clarity.

Proton NMR spectra were recorded with Varian A-60, Varian EM-360, or Perkin-Elmer Hitachi R-20B instruments.

The C¹³ NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker HX-90 Fourier transform spectrometer. The shiftless, paramagnetic relaxing agent, Cr(acad)₃ (132), was added to reduce data collection time. Deutero-chloroform ($\delta = -77.09$) or TMS was used as the internal standard.

The NMR spectral assignments were based in part upon the integrated intensities of the absorptions.

C. Reagents

Triphenylphosphine, triphenylarsine, and triphenylantimony were recrystallized from hexanes. Trimethylphosphite and triethylphosphine were fractionally distilled. Triphenylphosphite was recrystallized from the neat liquid. The cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl dimer, $[CpFe(C0)_2]_2$, was recrystallized from $CH_2Cl_2/hexanes$.

D. Photolysis

Small scale (\sim l mmole) photolysis reactions were conducted in quartz Schlenk tubes using a photolysis reactor (Bradford Scientific, Inc.) modified with a Plexiglas bottom to enable magnetic stirring.

Large scale photolysis reactions were conducted in a cylindrical 400 ml 3-necked flask -- with two female 24/40 joints and a central 55/50 male joint -- which accepted a water-cooled quartz photolysis well in which a Hanovia photochemical immersion lamp (Ace Glass, Inc.) was placed.

E. Preparation and Reactions of Complexes

1. Preparation of CpFe(CO)₂CS₂CH₃, [CpFe(CO)₂(CS)]CF₃SO₃, and [CpFe(CO)₂(CS)]PF₆

Although adequate methods for the preparation of $CpFe(CO)_2CS_2CH_3$ and $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ are in the literature (42), syntheses of these complexes are included here because the improvements in yield cited by M. H. Quick (61) were joint developments of M. H. Quick and myself. Also, an improvement in the purity of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ is obtained with this procedure.

This procedure is basically a modification of Dombek and Angelici's method (42).

Cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl dimer, $[CpFe(CO)_2]$, (10.0 g, 28.3 mmoles) in 200 ml THF was reduced to Na $[CpFe(CO)_2]$ by stirring for one-half hour with \sim 1% sodium amalgam (2.0 g, 87 mmoles Na in 35 ml Hg). After draining the amalgam, carbon disulfide (5 ml, 83 mmoles) was added to the well-stirred solution. Methyl iodide (5 ml, 80 mmoles) was added 15-20 seconds later, and the solution was stirred for 10 minutes. After evaporation to dryness, the residue was extracted with ethyl ether (\sim 300 ml) and filtered through Celite until all the brown color had been extracted. If the dithioester, $CpFe(CO)_2CS_2CH_3$, was desired, the volume was reduced to ~ 50 ml. Hexane was added and crystallization at $-20^{\circ}C$ gave the yellow-brown $CpFe(CO)_2CS_2CH_3$ (60 %).

IR (Hexane): 2035 vs, 1988 vs cm⁻¹.

To prepare the thiocarbonyl cation, $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$, CF_3SO_3H (5.6 ml, 63 mmoles in 60 ml of Et_2O) was slowly added dropwise to the Et_2O solution of $CpFe(CO)_2CS_2CH_3$, which was then stirred an additional 2 hours. The precipitated $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ was filtered off and washed with Et_2O . The crude product was then dissolved in 150 ml of acetone, and 350 ml of hexane was added to reprecipitate the product. Filtration gave a yellow-brown powder (the brown color is due to impurities). Washing with (1) Et_2O , (2) THF, (3) Et_2O , (4) THF and (5) Et_2O (care had to be taken not to use too much THF, as the product is slightly soluble in THF. Approximately 30 ml of THF and 50 ml of Et_2O were used for the respective washings) removed the brown color and yielded a bright yellow powder, $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (79%).

IR (CH_3CN): 2105 s, 2071 s, 1353 s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 3.95.

If $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ was the desired product, hydrogen chloride gas was bubbled through the Et₂O solution of the dithioester for 1 hour. The solution was then concentrated on a rotary evaporator to \sim 50 ml. Addition of a solution of NH₄PF₆ (10.0 g, 61.3 mmoles) in 150 ml of acetone was followed by filtration to remove the insoluble NH₄Cl. The product was then precipitated by the addition of 350 ml of hexanes. Filtration and subsequent washing with Et_2^0 and THF, as above, yielded a pale yellow powder, $[CpFe(C0)_2(CS)]PF_6$, (71%).

IR (Nujol Mull): 2093 s, 2064 S, 1348 s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 3.82,

The thiocarbonyl cation is somewhat sensitive to moisture, therefore it was stored in a desiccator over Drierite with a small open bottle of $Pb(OAc)_2$ present to absorb any H_2S given off.

2. Preparation of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂

A slurry of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (10.1 g, 27 3 mmoles) and excess sodium hydride (1.43 g of a 57% mineral oil dispersion, 34.0 mmoles) in 200 ml of THF was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The mixture was then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with hot benzene and filtered through Celite until the extractant was a light brown color, i.e., all the green color had been extracted. The solution was then chromatographed on a Florisil column (41 x 390 mm) eluting with benzene A dark brown band with a blue leading edge developed that eventually colored the entire column. The eluent was collected starting with the first colored material and continuing until all the dark green elutant had been collected. (The initial eluent was a grey or green, but the band rapidly changed to an intense dark green and then tailed into an olive green color.) The eluent was evaporated under reduced pressure to a black solid. This solid contained a mixture of <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂, with small amounts of $[CpFe(C0)_2]_2$ and $Cp_2Fe_2(C0)_3(CS)$ occasionally present.

The $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ could be isolated at this stage by crystallization from CH_2Cl_2 /hexane; however, the next purification step removed remaining impurities.

The black solid was dissolved in \sim 75 ml of CHCl₃, then 50 ml of 95% EtOH and 10 ml of concentrated aqueous HCl (120 mmoles) were added, and 0_{2} gas was slowly bubbled through the solution for 1 hour. (This oxidized the $[CpFe(C0)_2]_2$ and $Cp_2Fe_2(C0)_3(CS)$ to $CpFe(C0)_2C1$ and CpFe(CO)(CS)C1, while the [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ remained unreacted [vide post].) The solution was then placed on a rotary evaporator where the volume was reduced to ~50 ml. Addition of ~200 ml of H₂O caused the [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ to precipitate. The mixture was then filtered and washed with H_2O to remove the water soluble CpFe(CO)₂Cl and CpFe(CO)(CS)Cl. The black residue was dissolved in \sim 50 ml of acetone and again precipitated by addition of ~ 200 ml of H₂O. The mixture was again filtered and washed with H_2O . This second precipitation removed any CpFe(CO)₂Cl or CpFe(CO)(CS)Cl entrapped in the first precipitation. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and dried over anhydrous $MgSO_A$ overnight. After filtration through Celite, the green solution was reduced in volume to \sim 40 ml, and \sim 30 ml of heptanes was added. Crystallization at -20°C yielded red to black crystals of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_{2}$ (thick crystals appeared black, while thin crystals appeared dark red). A second crop of crystals was obtained by concentrating and cooling the filtrate for a total of 1.85 g (35% yield) of a mixture of <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂. The yields were variable, ranging from 21% to 47%.

IR (CS₂): 2011, 1978, 1124 cm⁻¹.

The relative intensities of the bands varied with the ratio of <u>cis</u>- to <u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂. The 2011 cm⁻¹ band is due to <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂, the 1978 cm⁻¹ is predominantly due to <u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂, while the 1124 cm⁻¹ band is due to both isomers (<u>vide post</u>).

3. Separation and isolation of \underline{cis} -[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ and \underline{trans} -[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂

A mixture of purified <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>- $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ was dissolved in benzene and placed on top of a 41 mm x 400 mm Florisil/hexane column. Elution with 1:1 benzene:hexane gave a single band, mostly green, but with a blue leading edge. Elution had to be fairly rapid, approximately 50 ml/minute, as isomerization occurred if the rate was too slow.

The initial grey eluent was collected until the first appearance of a green color, and then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. An infrared spectrum in CS_2 of this solid indicated it was enriched in trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂. Crystallization from CS_2 at -20°C was repeated 3 times until an infrared spectrum of the product indicated that the 2011 cm⁻¹ absorption (<u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂) was absent. Black crystals of <u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ were obtained.

IR (CS₂): 1979 vs, 1131 s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CS₂): τ 5.32.

¹³C NMR (CDC1₃): δ -374.5 (CS), -210.0 (CO), -96.7 (Cp).

The remaining material was eluted from the column and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. An infrared spectrum of this fraction

in CS₂ indicated enrichment in <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂. Crystallization from CH_2Cl_2 /hexane at -20°C was repeated until an infrared spectrum indicated that only <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ was present.

IR (CS₂): 2011 vs, 1982 m, 1124 s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CS₂): τ 5.22.

¹³C NMR (CDC1₃): δ -374.5 (CS), -208.9 (CO), -93.8 (Cp). Mass spectrum parent ion at m/e 385.883.

Anal. Calcd. for [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂: C. 43.55; H, 2.61; S, 16.61. Found: C, 43.47; H, 2.69; S, 15.87.

4. Isomerization of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂

A solution of $\underline{\text{trans}}$ -[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ in xylene was maintained at 50°C. Samples were withdrawn at intervals for analysis by infrared spectroscopy. The isomerization of $\underline{\text{trans}}$ -[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ to a <u>cis-trans</u> equilibrium mixture was monitored by the growth in the 2011 cm⁻¹ <u>cis-</u>[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ band. A plot of absorbance <u>vs</u> time gave a half-life of approximately 28 minutes for the time required for the 2011 cm⁻¹ absorption to reach maximum intensity. At maximum intensity, an equilibrium mixture of the <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> isomers was present. The isomerization of the pure isomers to an equilibrium <u>cis-trans</u> mixture was observed for both isomers in various solvent, <u>e.g.</u>, <u>trans-</u>[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ in CH₃CN and <u>cis-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ in hexane.</u>

5. Crystal structure of <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂

Black crystals of <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ were obtained by fractional crystallization at -20°C from a CS₂ solution containing a <u>cis-trans</u>

[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ mixture. The diffractometer-measured cell constants were found by carefully centering on 12 strong reflections between 35 and 45°C in θ with monochromated Cu-K radiation (1.54178 Å). The cell constants for the monoclinic cell are a = 14.409 (5), b = 12.560(4), c = 8.177 (3) Å, and β = 90.3 (2)°. The calculated density indicated that four molecules of the complex were in the unit cell. Systematic extinctions indicated the lattice belonged to the common space group $P2_1/c$. The data were collected on a fully-automated Hilger-Watts four-circle diffractometer using Zr-filtered Mo radiation (0.7107 Å). A total of 3080 reflections with θ < 25° were measured. Of these, 2187 reflections were judged observed after correction for background, Lorentz, and polarization effects. The structure was solved routinely using direct methods [133]. At this time, 187 reflections which were deemed to be subject to systematic errors were eliminated from the data set. Full-matrix, least-squares refinement on the 2000 remaining reflections varying positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms reduced the discrepancy index, R_1 , to 0.077 for the observed reflections.

A computer-generated drawing of the molecule is shown in Figure 6. The bond distances and bond angles are given in Tables 3 and 4.

Preparation of [Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₂(CS)(CS-HgCl₂)]

A solution of a <u>cis,trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ mixture (0.434 g, 1.12 mmoles) and HgCl₂ (0.295 g, 1.09 mmoles) in 40 ml of Et_2^0 was stirred for 9 hours. Then, 80 ml of heptane were added and the volume was

35

reduced to \sim 25 ml on a rotary evaporator. The mixture was then filtered, and the precipitate washed with pentane. Drying under high vacuum yielded 0.647 g (88% yield) of a black powder,

 $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-HgCl_2)].$

IR (Nujol Mull): 2028 vs, 2019 sh,s, 1995 s, 1177 sh,s, 1168 s, 1018 s cm^{-1} .

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 5.10, 4.87 (relative intensities 11:70). Anal. Calcd. for $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-HgCl_2)]$: C. 25.57; H, 1.53. Found: C, 25.36; H, 1.74.

7. Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ and CH_3SO_3F

A solution of <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ (0.205 g, 0.532 mmoles) and CH₃SO₃F (2.0 ml, 25 mmoles) in 100 ml CH₂Cl₂ was stirred for 15 min. The solution was then taken to dryness on a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in methanol and the solution was passed through an anion-exchange column (Amberlite IRA-400) in the PF₆⁻ form. The green eluent was then reduced in volume to \sim 25 ml, and Et₂O was added until a precipitate began to form. Crystallization at -20°C gave black crystals of [Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₂(CS)(CSMe)]PF₆ (0.215 g. 74% yield).

> IR (CH_2CI_2) : 2043 s, 2016 m, 1178 s, 1070 w, 1036 m cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 6.20 (CH₃), 4.17 (Cp), 4.27 (Cp). Anal. Calcd. for $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CSMe)]PF_6$: C, 33.00; H, 2.40. Found: C, 32.85; H, 2.43.

8. Reaction of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ and CH_3I

A solution of cis-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ (0.0513 g, 0.133 mmoles) and CH₃I (5 ml, 80 mmoles) in 50 ml of CH₂Cl₂ was stirred magnetically, and the progress of the reaction was monitored by infrared spectroscopy. The reaction appeared complete after \sim 10 hours; however, stirring was continued for a total of 32 hours (some decomposition was evident by the decreased intensity of the 2043 cm⁻¹ band of the product). The solution was then reduced on a rotary evaporator to dryness. After dissolving the residue in methanol, the green solution was passed through an anion-exchange resin (Amberlite IRA-400) in the PF₆⁻ form and then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Crystallization from methanol at -20°C yielded 0.0237 g of [Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₂(CS)(CSMe)]PF₆, a 33% yield.

IR (CH_2C1_2) : 2043 s, 2011 m, 1178 s, 1070 w, 1036 m cm⁻¹.

9. Rates of the reactions of <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ with CH_3I

a. $\underline{\operatorname{cis}-[\operatorname{CpFe}(\operatorname{CO})(\operatorname{CS})]_2}$ Crystals of $\underline{\operatorname{cis}-[\operatorname{CpFe}(\operatorname{CO})(\operatorname{CS})]_2}$ (10.3 mg, 2.67 x 10⁻⁵ moles) were dissolved in and diluted with neat CH₃I to 10.0 ml in a volumetric flask. A sample was withdrawn and placed in an unthermostated room-temperature infrared cell. The progress of the reaction of the solution in the infrared cell was periodically monitored by the decrease in intensity of the 2012 cm⁻¹ absorption of $\underline{\operatorname{cis}}-[\operatorname{CpFe}(\operatorname{CO})(\operatorname{CS})]_2$ (monitoring the 2043 cm⁻¹ absorption of the product was precluded by precipitation of the product toward the end of the reaction). A plot of absorbance <u>vs</u> time gave an estimate of $t_{1/4} \approx 8 \text{ min}$.

b. $\underline{\text{trans}-[\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})(\text{CS})]_2}$ Crystals of $\underline{\text{trans}-[\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})(\text{CS})]_2}$ (11.1 g, 2.88 x 10⁻⁵ moles) were dissolved in and diluted with neat CH₃I to 10.0 ml as above. The reaction was monitored as above, observing the decrease in intensity of the 1979 cm⁻¹ absorption of $\underline{\text{trans}-[\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})(\text{CS})]_2$. A plot of absorbance \underline{vs} time gave an estimate of $\underline{t_{1/4}} \approx 62$ min. (Unlike the reaction of $\underline{\text{cis}-[\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})(\text{CS})]_2$, extensive decomposition occurred during the course of the reaction.)

10. Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with HC1/0₂

A solution of a <u>cis,trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ mixture (2.34 g, 6.06 mmoles) in CHCl₃/EtOH (25 m/30 ml) was prepared. Concentrated aqueous HCl (10 ml, 120 mmoles) was then added, and $O_{2(g)}$ was bubbled through the solution for 90 minutes. The volume of the solution was then reduced to \sim 25 ml on a rotary evaporator. Addition of 200 ml of H₂O produced a black precipitate which was filtered and washed with H₂O. The black precipitate was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂, dried overnight over anhydrous MgSO₄, and filtered through Celite. An infrared spectrum of the solution indicated that only [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ was present. Crystallization at -20°C from CH₂Cl₂/hexane yielded 2.07 g of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂, an 89% recovery.

A similar reaction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with HBF_4/O_2 in acetone also yielded unreacted starting material.

38

11. Preparation of CpFe(CO)(CS)Br. Method I. Reaction of
[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ with Br₂

A solution of a <u>cis,trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ mixture (0.101 g, 0.261 mmoles) and excess Br_2 (2.0 ml of a solution of 1.0 ml of Br_2 diluted to 100 ml with CCl_4 , 0.390 mmoles) in 50 ml of $CHCl_3$ was refluxed for 20 minutes. The solution was then cooled to room temperature and extracted with aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ (2 x 10 ml portions of 0.42 N $Na_2S_2O_3$) to destroy the excess Br_2 . The organic layer was separated and dried overnight over anhydrous MgSO₄. The orange solution was then filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Crystallization from CS_2 /hexane at -20°C yielded tangerine crystals of CpFe(CO)(CS)Br (0.0992 g, 70% yield).

IR (CS₂): 2033 s, 1309 vs cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CS₂): τ 5.00 (Cp).

Anal. Calcd. for CpFe(CO)(CS)Br: C, 30.80; H, 1.85. Found: C, 30.89; H, 1.95.

12. Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with Br_2 at low temperature

A solution of a <u>cis,trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ mixture (0.112 g, 0.290 mmoles) in 25 ml of CS₂ was cooled to 0°C in an ice bath. A solution of Br₂ in CS₂ (10 ml of a solution of 1.0 ml of Br₂ diluted to 100 ml with CS₂, 1.95 mmoles) was then added dropwise to the cooled solution. After the addition was complete, the solution was stirred an additional 1/2 hour, then the black precipitate was filtered off and washed with CS₂, which changed the color of the precipitate to red-orange (the filtrate showed a trace of CpFe(CO)(CS)Br after destroying the excess Br_2 by extraction with aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ and subsequent drying). The red-orange residue dissolved in CH_3CN to give a bright orange solution. An infrared spectrum of this solution suggested a tentative identity for the product as {[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2Br}Br.

IR (CH₃CN): 2104 sh,w, 2084 s, 2039 m, 1325 s. 1179 w.

Upon standing, this CH_3CN solution developed infrared bands due to CpFe(CO)(CS)Br (2031 s, 1308 vs cm⁻¹).

13. Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with Cl_2 at low temperature

After a solution of a <u>cis</u>,<u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ mixture (0.382 g, 0.990 mmoles) in 50 ml of CCl₄ was cooled to 0°C in an ice bath, a solution of excess Cl₂ in CCl₄ (15 ml of a Cl₂-saturated solution, \sim 36 mmoles) was added dropwise to the cooled solution forming an emerald-green precipitate. After removal of the excess Cl₂ and CCl₄ by evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in CH₃CN and filtered through Celite to give a blue-green to emerald-green solution. Evaporation of this blue-green solution resulted in a darkgreen to black solid, tentatively identified as {[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂Cl}Cl by analogy to the reaction of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ with Br₂ (<u>vide ante</u>).

Attempts to obtain an infrared spectrum of a solution of this product failed, as invariably the intense blue-green solution decomposed to a light brown solution during the progress of the spectrum. Although the infrared spectrum of this brown solution varied, the expected decomposition product CpFe(CO)(CS)C1 was not observed. IR (Nujol Mull): 2095 w, 2091 w, 2082 w, 2074 m, 2046-2014 br,s, 1984 w, 1220 w, 1119 w cm⁻¹.

14. Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with I_2

A solution of <u>cis,trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]</u> (0.333 g, 0.863 mmoles) and iodine (0.484 g, 1.91 mmoles), in 25 ml of CH_3CN was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes. An infrared spectrum of the solution at this time indicated that approximately a third of the starting thiocarbonyl dimer had reacted to form what is tentatively identified as {[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2I}I.

IR (CH₃CN): 2084 s, 1325 s cm⁻¹.

The flask was then placed in an oil bath and the solution was refluxed for 1 hour and then cooled to room temperature. At this time, an infrared spectrum indicated the presence of $\{[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2I\}I$ and CpFe(CO)(CS)I along with an unidentified band at 2047 cm⁻¹. The excess iodine was then destroyed by extracting with aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ (2 x 10 ml portions, 0.42 meg/ml) after adding 50 ml of CH_2Cl_2 to ensure a two phase system. The organic layer was dried overnight with anhydrous $MgSO_4$, filtered, and evaporated to dryness to yield a black solid. Part of the black solid dissolved (0.105 g) in CS_2 giving a green solution. An infrared spectrum determined that the solution contained predominately CpFe(CO)(CS)I (a 19% yield of the crude material) with some $CpFe(CO)_2I$ present.

IR (CS_2): 2023 s, 1305 vs cm⁻¹.

By comparison of the intensities of the infrared bands of the two species (2023 cm⁻¹ for CpFe(CO)(CS)I and 1998 cm⁻¹ for CpFe(CO)₂I), it was determined the product was $\sim 10\%$ CpFe(CO)₂I (Beer's Law was proven using pure samples of the two compounds).

Attempts to prepare CpFe(CO)(CS)I under milder conditions analogous to those used in the preparation of CpFe(CO)₂I from $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ were less successful. The cationic intermediate, $\{[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2I\}^+$, did appear to form slowly in CHCl₃ at room temperature with $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ and excess I_2 . However, after refluxing for 40 minutes, only a portion of the thiocarbonyl dimer was converted to CpFe(CO)(CS)I. There was still an approximate 2:1 ratio of unreacted $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ to CpFe(CO)(CS)I after refluxing, and also a fair amount of decomposition was evident.

15. Reaction of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ with reducing agents

A THF solution of <u>cis,trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ reacted with an excess of reducing agents such as Na/Hg, C_8K (134), and NaK_{2.8} (100) to give a grey to dark brown precipitate. This precipitate was insoluble in common solvents, but dissolved in HMPA (hexamethylphosphoramide) to give a dark red solution that decomposed upon exposure to air. Attempts to characterize this precipitate or a stable derivative thereof were unsuccessful. The precipitate showed no apparent reaction with either MeI or ϕ_3 SnCl. The dark red HMPA solution also showed no apparent reaction with MeI, and infrared spectra of the products were ill-defined and showed no strong bands in the thiocarbonyl region $(1350 - 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1})$.

However, a THF solution (50 ml) of <u>cis,trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ (0.503 g, 1.30 mmoles) did react with a slight excess of Na/Hg (\sim 1.5 mmoles) to give a red solution within 5-10 minutes. At this time, an infrared spectrum of the solution showed bands at 2007 vs, 1975 m, 1717 m, and 1678 w -- relatively little changed from the starting thiocarbonyl dimer. After stirring for 30 minutes, the Hg was drained from the flask, and 3.0 ml of MeI (48 mmoles) was added to the red solution. After stirring a few minutes, the solution was evaporated to dryness, extracted with CS₂, and then filtered through Celite to yield a bright red air-sensitive solution.

> IR (CS₂): 2038 w, 2014 vs, 1983 m, 1776 m, 1124 s cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CS₂): τ 5.30 (s, 5.0); 5.92 (s, 6.1); 8.42 (s, 6.1); 8.78 (m, 15.2).

While the IR spectrum would suggest the red solution contains $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, its color, ¹H NMR, and air-sensitivity suggest otherwise.

With an excess of the homogeneous reducing agent sodium naphthalide (135) (2.0 ml of a 0.37 M solution in THF, 0.74 mmoles), the thiocarbonyl dimer, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, (0.101 g 0.261 mmoles) reacted in 30 ml of THF to give an olive-green solution. After stirring for 1 hour, ϕ_3 SnCl (0.233 g, 0.735 mmoles) was added and the solution was allowed to stir overnight. Subsequent treatment and column chromatography gave a small amount of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ as the only thiocarbonyl-containing product.

No reaction was apparent after stirring a solution of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ in THF with excess sodium hydride for two days.

16. Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ with MX

In an attempt to find a more direct synthesis for CpFe(CO)(CS)X compounds, hopefully with improved overall yields. $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ was reacted with various halide reagents (KI, KCl, NaCl, LiCl, LiBr, LiI, Et_ANI, and NaCN). A typical experiment is described below.

A mixture of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.502 g, 1.36 mmoles) and KI (0.104 g, 1.39 mmoles) was stirred in 25 ml of THF until all the undissolved solids had disappeared (the solution also changed color from pale yellow to dark green in the case of I⁻). The solution was then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, the residue was extracted with either CHCl₃ or CS₂ and then filtered through Celite. An infrared spectrum of the green solution showed the presence of both CpFe(CO)(CS)I and CpFe(CO)₂I.

From the intensities of the product absorptions in the IR estimates were made of the ratios, $CpFe(CO)(CS)X:CpFe(CO)_2X$, using the various reactants (see Table 5).

With KI, KCl, NaCl, LiCl, LiBr, LiI and Et_4NI , the reaction went cleanly to a mixture of CpFe(CO)(CS)X and CpFe(CO)₂X. With NaCN, however, neither CpFe(CO)(CS)CN nor CpFe(CO)₂CN could be identified from the infrared spectrum of the final solution.

IR (CS₂): 2057 s, 2041 sh,s, 2033 s, 1992 vs, 1950 w, 1280 w, 1250 w, 1208 s cm⁻¹.

17. Photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$. Preparation of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$

Crystals of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.437 g, 1.18 mmoles) were placed in a quartz Schlenk tube. and the apparatus was degassed by several cycles (4-5) of alternate evacuation and filling with nitrogen. Then, CH_2Cl_2 (35 ml) was added, and the apparatus was fitted with a cooling probe and a mineral oil bubbler, and placed in the small ultraviolet irradition box. Irradiation at 254 nm was continued until an infrared spectrum of the solution indicated that the production of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ was at a maximum. With this apparatus and amount of starting material, it was found that the maximum concentration of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ occurred after 5 hours of irradiation. Further irradiation slowly decreased the amount of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ present.

After irradiation, the red solution was transferred to a larger N_2 -filled Schlenk tube (\sim 150 ml). Ether (\sim 95 ml) or hexane (\sim 65 ml) was added to precipitate remaining starting material and any decomposition products. The solution was then filtered through Celite under nitrogen to give a clear red solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃.

IR (CH_2Cl_2) : 2051 s, 1322 vs cm⁻¹. IR (CS_2) : 2054 s (vCO), 1333 s, 1318 vs (vCS). 1231 s, 1195 s cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl_3): τ 4.76. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ -321.7 (CS), -207.8 (CO), -88.0 (Cp). Solutions of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ were used immediately after preparation for the synthesis of further products, as they decomposed rapidly in air and slowly under nitrogen. Attempts to isolate a crystalline product by evaporation of hexane or other solutions of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ resulted in a red oil which slowly decomposed even at -20°C under nitrogen.

Irradiation of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ in other solvents was not as successful as in CH_2Cl_2 . In THF or acetone, the expected $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]^+$ (L = THF or acetone) either did not form or low yields (by estimation of the infrared spectra) were obtained.

18. Photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ in acetone

As in the synthesis of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$, crystals of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ (0.420 g, 1.15 mmoles) were placed in a quartz Schlenk tube and degassed by alternate evacuation and filling with nitrogen. Acetone (35 ml) was added and the apparatus was fitted with a mineral oil bubbler and a cooling probe. Irradiation at 254 nm in a small ultraviolet irradiation box for 5 hours resulted in a dark brown solution. By following the progress of the reaction using infrared spectroscopy, it was determined that the maximum yield of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(acetone)]PF_6$ had formed in 5 hours. Further irradiation resulted in decreased yields.

The brown solution was then transferred under nitrogen to a larger schlenk tube (\sim 180 ml). Ether (120 ml) was then added to precipitate

remaining starting material and any decomposition products. Filtration under nitrogen through Celite resulted in a clear brown solution containing $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(acetone)]PF_6$.

IR (acetone): 2031 s, 1303 vs cm⁻¹.

Attempts to isolate a solid product by evaporation, or precipiation with ether (or hexane) resulted in a brown oil. Both this oil and the solutions of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(acetone)]PF_6$ are air sensitive and decompose slowly even under nitrogen.

19. Preparation of [CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)₂]PF₆

A large photochemical reactor charged with $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ (7.81 g, 21.3 mmoles) was degassed by several cycles of alternate evacuation and filling with N_2 at atmospheric pressure. De-oxygenated CH₂CN (350 m]) was added, and the solution was irradiated for 8 hours. After 2, 4, and 6 hours, the probe was removed from the reaction vessel and cleaned of accumulated brown residue by wiping with a Kimwipe wetted with acetone. After the 8 hours of irradiation, the yellowgreen, air-stable solution of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ was transferred to a 500 m] FB flask and evaporated to dryness. The residue was then dissolved in CH2Cl2 and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was reduced in volume until precipitation began (\sim 150 ml), then 100 ml of CHCl_3 was added. The volume was again reduced to the point of precipitation while heating in a water bath (\sim 60°C). Cooling to -20°C gave shiny yellow-green crystals of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$. Repetition of this crystallization procedure gave a second batch of crystals for a total of 5.38 g (64% yield).

IR (CH₃CN): 1298 s cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 4.84 (Cp), 7.48 (CH₃CN). ¹³C NMR (d⁶-acetone): δ -329.4 (CS), -222.6 (-CN), -87.4 (Cp), 4.14 (-CH₃).

Anal. Calcd. for [CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)₂]PF₆: C, 30.63; H, 2.83;

S, 8.18. Found: C, 30.66; H, 2.94; S, 8.39.

The yield reported was from an early preparation of [CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)₂]PF₆. Actual yields following this procedure are probably greater.

The irradiation time was determined by following the growth of the 1298 cm⁻¹ band in the IR. For these conditions with this apparatus, 8 hours was found to give the greatest intensity for this band. Further irradiation results in some decomposition and a lessening of the 1298 cm⁻¹ band intensity.

20. Preparation of [CpFe(CS)(Me₂S)₂]PF₆

Crystals of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ (0.457 g, 1.25 mmoles) were placed in a quartz Schlenk tube, and the apparatus was degassed by several cycles of alternate evacuation and filling with nitrogen. Then deoxygenated acetone (33 ml) and Me₂S (1.0 ml, 13.6 mmoles) were added. The apparatus was fitted with a cooling probe and mineral oil bubbler, and then irradiated for 5 hours at 254 nm. The progress of the reaction was followed in the IR and irradiation was continued until the intensity of the 1287 cm⁻¹ band, due to $[CpFe(CS)(Me_2S)_2]PF_6$, was at a maximum. Under these conditions, 5 hours of irradiation gave a maximum yield. The dark green solution was then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and filtered through Celite. Crystallization from $CH_2Cl_2/CHCl_3$ at -20°C gave black crystals of [CpFe(CS)(Me_2S)_2]PF_6 (0.322 g, 59% yield).

IR (CH₃CN): 1287 s cm^{-1} .

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone) (of [CpFe(CS)(Me₂S)₂]CF₃SO₃): τ 4.78 (Cp), 7.60 (Me).

Anal. Calcd. for [CpFe(CS)(Me₂S)₂]PF₆: C, 27.66; H, 3.95. Found: C, 28.34; H, 4.19.

21. Preparation of CpFe(CO)(CS)I

A solution of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ was produced by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.402 g, 1.09 mmoles) in 20 ml of CH_2Cl_2 . After isolation of the solution of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ in ether, KI (0.183 g, 1.10 mmoles) and acetone (30 ml) were added, and the mixture was stirred until all the KI had dissolved (typically the mixture was allowed to stir overnight). The solution was then evaporated to dryness, and the residue was extracted with CS_2 until all the green CpFe(CO)(CS)I had been extracted. This green solution was filtered, the volume was reduced to ~ 20 ml, then heptane was slowly added while warming the flask until the point of precipitation was reached. Cooling to $-20^{\circ}C$ gave black crystals of CpFe(CO)(CS)I. Repetition of the crystallization step gave a second batch of crystals for a total of 0.223 g (64% yield). IR (CS₂): 2024 s, 1306 vs cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CS₂): τ 4.93 (Cp). ¹³C NMR (CDC1₃): δ -322.5 (CS), -212.5 (CO), -87.6 (Cp). Anal. Calcd. for CpFe(CO)(CS)I: C, 26.28; H, 1.58. Found: C, 25.91; H, 1.66.

The CpFe(CO)(CS)I produced by this synthesis typically has $\sim 3\%$ CpFe(CO)₂I present. For most purposes, this is sufficiently pure, and unless stated otherwise, the CpFe(CO)(CS)I used in later syntheses was this crude CpFe(CO)(CS)I. However, if a pure product is desired, the CpFe(CO)(CS)I can be separated from the CpFe(CO)₂I by fractional crystallization from CS₂/hexane. The analytical sample was so purified. Pure CpFe(CO)(CS)I was also obtained by liquid chromatography on Florisil eluting with CS₂.

22. <u>Preparation of CpFe(CO)(CS)Br. Method II. Reaction of</u> CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ with KBr

A solution of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ was prepared by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.409 g, 1.10 mmoles) in CH_2Cl (20 ml). After isolation of the solution of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ in ether, KBr (0.17 g, 0.980 mmoles) and acetone (75 ml) were added, and the mixture was stirred until all the KBr had dissolved (typically overnight). The solution was then evaporated to dryness, and the residue was extracted with CS_2 until all the orange CpFe(CO)(CS)Br had been extracted. This orange solution was filtered through Celite, the volume was reduced to ~ 20 ml, and then heptane was added to the point of precipitation.

Cooling to -20° C gave orange crystals of CpFe(CO)(CS)Br. Repetition of this crystallization step gave a second batch of crystals for a total of 0.154 g (a 51% yield).

IR (CS_2): 2033 s, 1309 vs cm⁻¹.

The CpFe(CO)(CS)Br produced in this synthesis typically had $\sim 11\%$ CpFe(CO)₂Br present. As with CpFe(CO)(CS)I, pure CpFe(CO)(CS)Br could be isolated by fractional crystallization or liquid chromatography.

23. Preparation of CpFe(CO)(CS)C1

A solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ was prepared by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.413 g, 1.12 mmoles) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml). After isolation of the solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ in ether, KCl (0.0829 g, 1.11 mmoles) and acetone (50 ml) were added, and the mixture was stirred until all the KCl had dissolved (3 1/2 hours). The solution then was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was extracted with CS₂ until all the red color had been extracted. This red solution was filtered through Celite, the volume was reduced to \sim 10 ml, and \sim 15 ml of heptane was added. Slow evaporation under a stream of nitrogen gave a reddish powder of crude CpFe(CO)(CS)Cl (0.0691 g, 31% yield).

IR (CS₂): 2036 s, 1310 vs cm⁻¹.

As with CpFe(CO)(CS)I and CpFe(CO)(CS)Br, the crude CpFe(CO)(CS)C1 produced by this method contains some CpFe(CO)₂Cl (\sim 13%). Isolation of pure CpFe(CO)(CS)Cl was not attempted.

24. Preparation of [CpFe(CO)(CS)($P\phi_3$)]CF₃SO₃

A solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ was prepared by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.402 g, 1.09 mmoles) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml). The volume of this solution was reduced to ~ 2 ml under a nitrogen stream. Then, 25 ml of Et₂O was added, and the solution filtered through Celite under N₂ to give a clear red solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃. With addition of P ϕ_3 (0.288 g, 1.10 mmoles), the color of the solution changed to yellow within a minute and a precipitate formed. The solution was stirred for 5 minutes, and then filtered. The precipitate was dissolved in acetone, filtered, and while warming heptane was added to the point of precipitation. Cooling to -20°C gave golden crystals of [CpFe(CO)(CS)(P ϕ_3)]CF₃SO₃ (0.399 g, a 61% yield).

IR (CH₃CN): 2034 s, 1323 vs cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 4.45 (d, J = 1.0 hz, Cp), 2.27-2.72 (m, ϕ).

Anal. Calcd. for $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(P\phi_3)]CF_3SO_3$: C, 51.67; H, 3.34. Found: C, 51.61; H, 3.41.

25. Preparation of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]CF₃SO₃

A solution of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ was prepared by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.432 g, 1.17 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (35 ml). After isolation of the solution of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ in hexane, pyridine (0.4 ml, 6.2 mmoles) was added. Within a minute, the color of the solution changed from red to yellow. The solution was stirred for 5 minutes and then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The

greenish residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (~20 ml), filtered through Celite, and hexane (~150 ml) was added to precipitate a greenish-brown oil, [CpFe(CO)(CS)(py)]CF₃SO₃ (0.347 g, 71% yield). Attempts to obtain a crystalline product by crystallization from acetone/Et₂O, CH_2Cl_2 /hexane, or acetone/hexanes were unsuccessful.

IR (CH_3CN): 2045 s, 1321 vs cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 4.32 (Cp), 1.07-2.43 (py).

26. Preparation of [CpFe(CO)(CS)(CH₃CN)]CF₃SO₃

A solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ was prepared by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.446 g, 1.20 mmoles) in CH₂Cl₂ (35 ml). After isolation of the solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ in hexane, acetonitrile (1.0 ml, 19 mmoles) was added and the solution was refluxed for 30 minutes. After cooling to room temperature, the yellow solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (\sim 15 ml), filtered through Celite, and hexane was added to precipitate a yellow-brown oil, [CpFe(CO)(CS)(CH₃CN)]CF₃SO₃ (0.330 g, a 72% yield). Attempts to obtain a crystalline product were unsuccessful.

> IR (CH₃CN): 2056 s, 1327 vs cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 4.33 (Cp), 7.49 (CH₃CN).

27. Reaction of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ with P(O ϕ)₃

A solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ was prepared by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.453 g, 1.22 mmoles) in CH₂Cl₂ (36 ml). After

isolation of the solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ in hexane, P(O ϕ)₃ (250 µl, 0.95 mmoles) was added, and the solution was stirred until the red color disappeared (50 minutes). After evaporating the solution to dryness under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (\sim 20 ml) and filtered through Celite. Addition of hexane (\sim 150 ml) gave a yellow-brown oil. Spectroscopic analysis showed the oil to be a mixture of [CpFe(CO)(CS)(P{O ϕ }₃)]CF₃SO₃ (the major product) and [CpFe(CS)(P{O ϕ }₃)₂]CF₃SO₃. Attempts to separate the two components or to obtain a crystalline product were unsuccessful.

> IR (CH₃CN): 2051 s (vCO), 1337 s (vCS, mono-substituted cation), 1323 sh,m cm⁻¹ (vCS, bis-substituted cation). ¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 2.33-2.80 (m, P{0 ϕ }₃), 4.70 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, mono-substituted cation, Cp), 5.16 (t, J = 1.0 Hz, bis-substituted cation, Cp), (\sim 3:1 ratio of mono:bis-substituted cations).

28. Reaction of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ with P(OMe)₃

A solution of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ was prepared by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.454 g, 1.23 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (35 ml). After isolation of the solution of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ in hexane, $P(OMe)_3$ (130 µl, 1.10 mmoles) was added and the solution was stirred for 25 minutes during which time the solution changed color from red to pale yellow, and a brown precipitate formed. The solution was then filtered yielding a pale yellow filtrate, which was evaporated to a yellow-brown oil, and a brown residue.

Spectroscopic analysis of the yellow-brown oil indicated that it was predominantly $[CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}_3)_2]CF_3SO_3$.

IR (CH₃CN): 1308 s cm^{-1} .

Similarly, the brown precipitate was found to be a mixture of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(P{OMe}_3)]CF_3SO_3$ (the major product) and $[CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}_3)_2]CF_3SO_3$.

IR (CH₃CN): 2043 s (vCO), 1331 vs (vCS, mono-substituted cation), 1308 s cm⁻¹ (vCS, bis-substituted cation).

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 4.28 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, mono-substituted cation, Cp), 4.69 (t, J = 1.0 Hz, bis-substituted cation, Cp), 5.90-6.27 (m, P{OMe}₃).

Attempts to isolate either product by crystallization, precipitation, or chromatography were unsuccessful. Reducing the amount of $P(OMe)_3$ initially added to as low as 0.64 mmoles still gave a mixture of products.

29. Reaction of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ with $(\underline{n}-Bu)_2$ S

A solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ was prepared by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ (0.440 g, 1.19 mmoles) in CH₂Cl₂ (35 ml). After isolation of the solution of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ in hexane, (<u>n</u>-Bu)₂S (1.0 ml, 5.7 mmoles) was added. The solution was refluxed for 40 minutes during which time its color changed from red to yellow-brown. After cooling to room temperature, the solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was then dissolved in \sim 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂. The solution was filtered through Celite, and hexane

(150 ml) was added to precipitate a brownish oil. This mixture was then filtered, giving a brown residue and a green filtrate. The dissolution of the brown residue and precipitation was repeated two additional times to separate the soluble green $[CpFe(CS)(Bu_2S)_2]CF_3SO_3$ in the filtrates from the brown insoluble $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(Bu_2S)]CF_3SO_3$. The separation was not complete as the final greenish-brown powder, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(Bu_2S)]CF_3SO_3$, had a small amount of $[CpFe(CS)(Bu_2S)_2]CF_3SO_3$ present (\sim 36% yield).

IR (CH₃CN): 2040 s, 1323 vs cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 4.28 (mono-substituted cation, Cp), 4.70 (bis-substituted cation, Cp), 6.90-7.32 (m, S-CH₂-), 8.08-9.25 (m, -CH₂CH₂CH₃) (the ratio of mono:bis substituted cation was \sim 12:1).

30. Reaction of CpFe(CO)(CS)I with PEt₃

Triethylphosphine, PEt₃, (150 µl, 1.02 mmoles) was added to a solution of crude CpFe(CO)(CS)I (0.322 g, 1.01 mmoles) in benzene (50 ml), and the solution was refluxed for one hour under nitrogen. An infrared spectrum of the solution at this time indicated (by absence of the 2024 cm⁻¹ band of CpFe(CO)(CS)I) that the starting material had completely reacted. The solution was cooled to room temperature and filtered to remove the yellow precipitate which had formed within the first 5 minutes of refluxing. The yellow precipitate was washed with benzene and pentane to yield 0.083 g of crude [CpFe(CO)(CS)(PEt₃)]I

(\sim 19% yield). The product contained a small percentage of [CpFe(CO)₂(PEt₃)]I {IR (CH₃CN): 2046, 2006 cm⁻¹}.

IR (CH₃CN): 2027 s, 1319 vs cm⁻¹.

The green filtrate from above was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was then dissolved in CS_2 (\sim 15 ml). The solution was filtered and hexane (\sim 15 ml) added. The solution was then slowly evaporated under a stream of nitrogen until a precipitate began to form. Crystallization at -20°C gave dark green crystals of CpFe(CS)(PEt₃)I (0.134 g, a 33% yield).

IR (CS_2) : 1272 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CS_2) : τ 5.36 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, Cp), 7.68-8.27 (m, $-CH_2$ -), 8.57-9.13 (m, $-CH_3$). ¹³C NMR $(CDC1_3)$: δ -324.4 (d. J = 37.8 Hz, CS), -85.7 (s, Cp), -21.0 (d, J = 28.1 Hz. $-CH_2$ -), -8.4 (s, $-CH_3$). Anal. Calcd. for CpFe(CS)(PEt₃)I: C, 35.15; H, 4.92. Found: C, 35.30; H, 5.08.

31. Preparation of CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)I. Method I. Reaction of CpFe(CO)(CS)I with $P\phi_3$

A solution of crude CpFe(CO)(CS)I (1.51 g, 4.72 mmoles) and triphenylphosphine, $P\phi_3$, (1.24 g, 4.74 mmoles) in benzene (80 ml) was refluxed for 3 hours under nitrogen. An infrared spectrum of the solution at this time indicated that the CpFe(CO)(CS)I had completely reacted. The solution was then cooled to room temperature and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CS_2 and chromatographed on a Florisil column (18 x 730 mm). Elution with CS_2 was continued until the initial gray band had been collected. This band contained the unreacted $CpFe(CO)_2I$ impurity. Elution was continued with 1% Et_2O/CS_2 . The second, dark green band was then collected in fractions. Essentially all of the $CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)I$ eluted before the $CpFe(CO)(P\phi_3)I$; however, as both compounds are dark green, the composition of each fraction had to be monitored by infrared spectroscopy. The fractions containing only $CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)I$ were then combined and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (~ 20 ml); the solution was filtered through Celite, and then heptane (20 ml) was added. The solution was slowly evaporated under a nitrogen stream until crystals began to form. Crystallization at $-20^{\circ}C$ gave green crystals of $CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)I$ (1.768 g, a 68% yield).

> IR (CS_2) : 1271 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CS_2) : τ 2.22-2.83 (m, ϕ), 5.58 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, Cp). ¹³C NMR $(CDC1_3)$: δ -325.0 (d, J = 37.2 Hz, CS), -134.9 (s, ϕ), 132.9 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, ϕ), -129.5 (s, ϕ), -127.3 (d, J = 8.9 Hz), -87.1 (s, Cp).

Anal. Calcd. for CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$): C, 52.01; H, 3.64.

Found: C, 51.92; H, 3.68.

The product, CpFe(CS)(P_{ϕ_3})I, can also be isolated by fractional crystallization from CS₂/heptane or CH₂Cl₂/heptane; however, yields of the pure compound are lower.

32. Preparation of CpFe(CS)(As ϕ_3)I

A solution of crude CpFe(CO)(CS)I (0.105 g, 0.329 mmoles) and triphenylarsine, $As\phi_3$, (0.155 g, 0.506 mmoles) in benzene (25 ml) was refluxed under nitrogen for 22 hours. An infrared spectrum of the solution at this time indicated that almost all the CpFe(CO)(CS)I had reacted. The solution was cooled to room temperature, and then placed on a Florisil chromatography column (12 x 340 mm). Elution with benzene gave two bands. The first band, brown in color, was collected and evaporated to dryness. An infrared spectrum showed this band to contain unreacted CpFe(CO)(CS) and $CpFe(CO)_2I$. The second, a green band containing the product CpFe(CS)(As ϕ_3)I and some CpFe(CO)(As ϕ_3)I, was collected and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CS₂, the solution was filtered. and heptane (\sim 10 ml) was added. The solution was then placed under a slow nitrogen stream until crystals began to form, and then cooled to -20°C. This crystallization procedure was repeated again to yield green crystals of CpFe(CS)(As ϕ_3)I (0.099 g, a 50% yield).

IR (CS_2) : 1271 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CS₂): τ 2.33-2.80 (m, ϕ), 5.50 (s, Cp).

33. Preparation of CpFe(CS)(Sb ϕ_3)I

A solution of crude CpFe(CO)(CS)I (0.111 g, 0.346 mmoles) and triphenylstilbine, $Sb\phi_3$, (0.231 g, 0.653 mmoles) in benzene (25 ml) was refluxed under nitrogen for 37 hours. An infrared spectrum of the solution at this time indicated that essentially all of the CpFe(CO)(CS)I had reacted. The solution was cooled to room temperature, and placed on a Florisil chromatography column (12 x 340 mm). Elution with 1) benzene and 2) CH_2Cl_2 gave a single green band. The band was collected and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (\sim 10 ml), the solution was filtered, and heptane (\sim 10 ml) was added. The solution was then placed under a slow stream of nitrogen until crystals began to form. Crystallization at -20°C gave green crystals of CpFe(CS)(Sb ϕ_3)I (0.153 g, a 68% yield).

> IR (CS_2) : 1269 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CS_2) : τ 2.37-2.83 (m, ϕ), 5.39 (s, Cp). Anal. Calcd. for CpFe(CS)(Sb ϕ_3)I: C, 44.69; H, 3.13. Found: C, 44.56; H, 3.02.

34. Preparation of CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}₃)I

A solution of crude CpFe(CO)(CS)I (0.335 g, 1.05 mmoles) and trimethylphosphite, $P(OMe)_3$, (1.0 ml, 8.48 mmoles) in benzene (50 ml) was refluxed under nitrogen for 11 hours. An infrared spectrum of the solution at this time indicated that the CpFe(CO)(CS)I had completely reacted. The solution was then reduced in volume <u>in vacuo</u> to a couple of milliliters and placed on a Florisil chromatography column (12 x 300 mm). Elution with benzene gave a single green band, which was collected and evaporated to dryness. An infrared spectrum of the residue in CS₂ showed this to be a mixture of CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}₃)I, the major product, and CpFe(CO)(P{OMe}_3)I. Pure CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}_3)I was then isolated by fractional crystallization as indicated below. The residue was dissolved in a minimum of CH_2Cl_2 ($\sim 10 \text{ ml}$), filtered, and heptane ($\sim 15 \text{ ml}$) was added. The solution was placed under a slow nitrogen stream until crystals began to form, and then cooled to -20°C to complete the crystallization. The crystals were recovered by filtration and washed with pentane. After repeating the crystallization 3 additional times, black crystals of pure CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}_3)I (0.190 g, a 44% yield) were obtained.

> IR (CS_2) : 1278 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CS_2) : τ 5.31 (s, Cp), 6.29 (d, J = 11 Hz, Me). ¹³C NMR $(CDC1_3)$: δ -323.1 (d, J = 55.1 Hz, CS), -86.5 (s, Cp), -54.0 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, Me). Anal. Calcd. for CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}_3)I: C. 25.99; H, 3.39. Found: C, 26.20; H, 3.44.

35. Preparation of CpFe(CS)(P{ 0ϕ })I

A solution of crude CpFe(CO)(CS)I (0.322 g, 1.01 mmoles) and triphenylphosphite, $P(0\phi)_3$, (1.0 ml, 3.8 mmoles) in benzene (50 ml) was refluxed under nitrogen for 12 hours. An infrared spectrum of the solution indicated that the CpFe(CO)(CS)I had completely reacted. The solution was then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CS₂ and placed on top of a Florisil chromatography column (12 x 350 mm). Elution with CS₂ was continued until the single green band extended to almost the entire length of the column. Elution was then continued with 10% CHCl₃/CS₂, and the green band was collected in fractions. Each fraction was analyzed by infrared spectroscopy. Most of the band contained pure $CpFe(CS)(P\{0\phi\}_3)I$, only the last few fractions were contaminated with $CpFe(CO)(P\{0\phi\}_3)I$. The fractions containing only $CpFe(CS)(P\{0\phi\}_3)I$ were combined and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (~ 10 ml), filtered, and heptane (~ 10 ml) was added. The solution was then placed under a slow nitrogen stream until crystals began to form. Cooling to -20°C gave green crystals of $CpFe(CS)(P\{0\phi\}_3)I$ (0.294 g, a 49% yield).

> IR (CS_2) : 1289 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CS_2) : τ 2.55-2.92 (m, ϕ), 5.88 (s, Cp). Anal. Calcd. for CpFe(CS)(P{0 ϕ })I: C, 47.87; H, 3.35. Found: C, 47.63; H, 3.45

36. Preparation of [CpFe(CS)(bipy)]PF₆

A solution of 2,2'-bipyridine, bipy, (0.171 g, 1.09 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 ml) was added dropwise very slowly (over ~30 minutes) to a solution of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ (0.418 g, 1.07 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 ml). The solution was stirred an additional 30 minutes and then evaporated to dryness. The residue was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 until all the yellow-orange $[CpFe(CS)(bipy)]PF_6$ had been extracted and the red $[Fe(bipy)_3](PF_6)_2$ was starting to extract. After filtration, the solution was reduced in volume and chromatographed on alumina (12 x 300 mm column) with CH_2Cl_2 . The initial orange-yellow band was collected and evaporated to dryness. Crystallization from $CH_2Cl_2/CHCl_3$ at -20°C gave red crystals of $[CpFe(CS)(bipy)]PF_6$ (0.161 g, a 32% yield). IR (CH₃CN): 1293 s cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 1.00-2.62 (m, bipy), 4.65 (s, Cp). Anal. Calcd. for [CpFe(CS)(bipy)]PF₆: C, 41.22; H, 2.81. Found: C, 41.16; H, 2.94.

The extraction step before chromatography was found necessary, as otherwise the $[Fe(bipy)_3](PF_6)_2$ flooded the column and separation of the $[CpFe(CS)(bipy)]PF_6$ was not obtained.

37. Preparation of [CpFe(CS)(phen)]PF₆

A solution of 1,10-phenanthroline, phen, (0.208 g, 1.05 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 ml) was added dropwise very slowly (over \sim 30 minutes) to a solution of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ (0.424 g, 1.08 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (25 ml). The solution was stirred an additional 30 minutes and then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 until the yellow-brown $[CpFe(CS)(phen)]PF_6$ had been extracted and the red $[Fe(phen)_3](PF_6)_2$ was starting to extract. After filtration, the solution was reduced in volume and then chromatographed on alumina (12 x 300 mm column). Elution was initially with CH_2Cl_2 , but increasing percentages of CH_3CN (up to 50% CH_3CN) were added as the elution progressed. The initial yellow-brown band was collected and evaporated to dryness. Crystallization from $CH_2Cl_2/hexane$ at -20°C gave brown crystals of $[CpFe(CS)(phen)]PF_6$ (0.093 g, 18% yield).

IR (CH₃CN); 1290 s, 1299 m, sh cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 0.65-2.12 (m, phen), 4.58 (s, Cp).
Anal. Calcd. for [CpFe(CS)(phen)]PF₆: C, 44.11; H, 2.67. Found: C, 44.32; H, 2.60.

38. Preparation of [CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)₂]PF₆

A solution of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ (0.423 g, 1.08 mmoles) and triphenylphosphine, $P\phi_3$, (0.566 g, 2.16 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 ml) was stirred for 6 hours. The initial yellow-green solution turned dark green in \sim 15 minutes and then red in \sim 1 hour, and then remained red. Evaporation under reduced pressure gave a red tar. The red tar was then extracted with hot benzene, dissolving a red material and leaving behind orange crystals of $[CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)_2]PF_6 \cdot C_6H_6$ (0.330 g, 34% yield). The analytical sample was crystallized from CH_2Cl_2 /benzene at -20°C.

IR (CH₃CN): 1285 s, 1090 m cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 2.38-2.92 (m, ϕ), 5.10 (t, J = 1.3 Hz,

Cp).

Anal. Calcd. for $[CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)_2]PF_6 \cdot C_6H_6$: C, 63.17; H, 4.53. Found: C, 62.28; H, 4.57.

39. Preparation of [CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}₃)(CH₃CN)]PF₆

Trimethylphosphite, $P(OMe)_3$, (2 0 ml, 17 mmoles) was added to a solution of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ (0.461 g, 1.17 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 ml). After stirring for 9 hours, the orange solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 ; the solution was filtered and hexanes were added to the point of precipitation. Crystallization at -20°C gave orange

crystals of $[CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}_3)(CH_3CN)]PF_6$ (0.233 g, a 42% yield). The analytical sample was crystallized from acetone/hexane.

IR (CH₃CN): 1304 s cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 4.81 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, Cp), 6,15 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, P{OMe}₃), 7.55 (d, J = 0.7 Hz', CH₃CN). Anal. Calcd. for [CpFe(CS)(P{OMe}₃)(CH₃CN)]PF₆: C, 27.81; H, 3.61.

Found: C, 27.83; H, 3.70.

40. Preparation of $[CpFe(CS)(P\{0\phi\}_3)(CH_3CN)]PF_6$

Triphenylphosphite, $P(0\phi)_3$, (1.0 ml, 3.8 mmoles) was added to a solution of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ (0.466 g, 1.19 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 ml). The solution was stirred overnight, although within 2 hours the initial yellow-green solution had turned orange, and no further color change occurred. The solution was then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in a minimum of CH_2Cl_2 (\sim 15 ml), the solution was filtered and then hexanes (\sim 100 ml) were added to precipitate the product. After filtering off the hexanes and excess $P(0\phi)_3$, the orange product was crystallized from $CH_2Cl_2/benzene$ at -20°C as the monobenzene solvate, $[CpFe(CS)(P\{0\phi\}_3)(CH_3CN)]PF_6 \cdot C_6H_6$ (0.634 g, 72% yield).

IR (CH₃CN): 1312 s cm^{-1} .

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 2.40-2.92 (m, ϕ), 5.28 (d, J = 0.8 Hz,

Cp), 7.67 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, CH_3CN).

Anal. Calcd. for $[CpFe(CS)(P{0\phi}_3)(CH_3CN)]PF_6 \cdot C_6H_6$: C, 51.98; H, 3.95.

Found: C, 51.62; H, 3.91.

41. Reaction of [CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)₂]PF₆ with PEt₃

Triethylphosphine PEt₃, (0.4 ml, 2.7 mmoles) was added to a solution of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ (0.424 g, 1.08 mmoles) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 ml). The initial yellow-green solution immediately turned blue. After \sim 30 minutes, the solution had turned red-orange, and remained red-orange until stirring was stopped after 9 hours. After evaporation to dryness, the orange-brown residue was dissolved in a minimum of CH_2Cl_2 (\sim 15 ml). Addition of hexane (\sim 100 ml) caused a red precipitate to form. The mixture was filtered and washed with hexanes to yield a red solid (<u>vide</u> <u>post</u>) and a green filtrate. The green filtrate was evaporated to dryness; the residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and hexanes were added until the point of precipitation. Crystallization at -20°C gave dark green crystals of $CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)Cl$ (0.041 g, 12% yield).

IR (CS₂): 1274 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CS₂): τ 5.40 (d, J = 0.8 Hz); 7.90-8.37 (m, -CH₂-), 8.58-9.17 (m, -CH₃).

Anal. Calcd. for CpFe(CS)(PEt₃)Cl: C, 45.24; H, 6.33.

Found: C, 45.40; H, 6.46.

The red solid from above was dissolved in acetone and placed on a short alumina chromatography column to remove paramagnetic impurities. Elution with acetone gave a yellow to orange band which was collected and found to be a \sim 1:1 mixture of [CpFe(CS)(PEt₃)(CH₃CN)]PF₆ and [CpFe(CS)(PEt₃)₂]PF₆.

The mixture was again dissolved in acetone and placed on a alumina chromatography column (12 x 415 mm). Elution with acetone gave incomplete

resolution of the two bands. The initial yellow band was found to contain $[CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)_2]PF_6$, and the trailing orange band was found to contain $[CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)(CH_3CN)]PF_6$.

The leading portion of the yellow band was collected; however, attempts to obtain crystals of $[CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)_2]PF_6$ for analysis were unsuccessful.

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 4.77 (t, J = 1.3 Hz, Cp), 7.67-8.18 (m, -CH₂-), 8.48-9.07 (m, -CH₃).

The trailing portion of the orange band was collected and evaporated to dryness. Crystallization from acetone/hexanes at -20° C gave blood-red crystals of [CpFe(CS)(PEt₃)(CH₃CN)]PF₆ (0.062 g. a 12% isolated yield).

IR (CH₃CN): 1292 s cm^{-1} .

¹H NMR (d⁶-acetone): τ 4.84 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, Cp), 7.52 (d,

J = 1.0 Hz, CH_3CN , 7.67-8.18 (m - CH_2 -), 8.48-9.07 (m, - CH_3). Anal. Calcd. for [CpFe(CS)(PEt₃)(CH_3CN)]PF₆: C, 35.84; H, 4.94. Found: C, 35.11; H, 4.66.

42. Preparation of CpFe(CS)₂I

Methanol (25 m) was added to a nitrogen-filled Schlenk tube containing $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ (0.434 g, 1.11 mmoles) and KI (0.186 g, 1.12 mmoles). The mixture was stirred for 6 hours (if the reaction was conducted in CH₃CN, the progress of the reaction could be followed in the IR, and indicated that the reaction is complete in \sim 90 minutes), to produce an air-stable solution of CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)I. IR (CH₃CN): 1277 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CD₃CN): τ 5.22 (Cp).

Methylene chloride, CH_2Cl_2 , (50 ml) was added to the solution, and then the solution was extracted with aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ (0.42 M, in two 10 ml portions) to destroy the by-product, I_2 . The organic phase was collected, dried overnight over anhydrous $MgSO_4$, and then filtered through Celite to produce a green solution of $CpFe(CS)_2I$. The volume of the solution was reduced <u>in vacuo</u> to ~ 10 ml, heptane was added to the point of precipitation, and the product was crystallized at $-20^{\circ}C$ to yield black crystals of $CpFe(CS)_2I$ (0.105 g, a 57% yield). The analytical sample was crystallized from $CS_2/hexane$.

> IR (CS₂): 1337 s, 1274 vs cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CS₂): τ 4.95 (Cp). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ -323.4 (CS), -90.6 (Cp). Mass spectrum parent ion at m/e 335.5. Anal. Calcd. for CpFe(CS)₂I: C, 25.02; H, 1.50. Found: C, 25.28; H, 1.58.

In an alternate method for the isolation of $CpFe(CS)_2I$, the $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was then extracted with CH_2Cl_2 and filtered through Celite. Again, the by-product, I_2 , was removed by extracting with aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$. After drying and filtering, the solution of $CpFe(CS)_2I$ was treated as above to yield $CpFe(CS)_2I$.

Attempts to isolate the intermediate, $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$, were unsuccessful. Extraction of a CH_3CN solution of $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ with CS_2 initially showed the presence of CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)I (by IR), however, this rapidly converted to CpFe(CS)₂I.

43. Preparation of CpFe(CS)₂Br

Methanol (25 ml) was added to a nitrogen-filled Schlenk tube containing $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ (0.411 g, 1.05 mmoles) and KBr (0.250 g, 2.10 mmoles). The mixture was stirred for 6 hours, after which Et_20 (50 ml) was added. The solution was then extracted with aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ (0.42 M, in two 10 ml portions). The organic phase was collected, dried over anhydrous overnight, and filtered to produce a solution of $CpFe(CS)_2Br$. Evaporation to dryness yielded impure $CpFe(CS)_2Br$ (0.006 g, 4% yield). The $CpFe(CS)_2Br$ contained $\sim 10\%$ impurities, $CpFe(CO)_2Br$ and CpFe(CO)(CS)Br, and was not further isolated.

IR (CS₂): 1341 s, 1276 vs cm⁻¹.

44. Preparation of CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)I. Method II. Reaction of [CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)₂]PF₆ with 1) KI and 2) $P\phi_3$

A solution of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ (0.489 g. 1.33 mmoles) in CH_3CN (35 ml) was irradiated in a quartz Schlenk tube for 7 hours to produce $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CH)_2]PF_6$ (vide ante). Potassium iodide (0.251 g, 1.51 mmoles) was added and the solution was stirred overnight to produce a solution of $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ (vide ante). Then, triphenylphosphine, $P\phi_3$, (0.324 g, 1.43 mmoles) was added, and the solution was stirred an additional 11 hours. The green solution was then evaporated to dryness The residue was dissolved in benzene, filtered through Celite, and placed on a Florisil chromatography column (16 x 290 mm). Elution, initially with benzene, and then with 2) $CHCl_3$ and 3) CH_2Cl_2 , gave a dark green band which was collected and evaporated to dryness. An infrared spectrum of the residue in CS_2 indicated that it was $CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)I$ with traces of CpFe(CO)(CS)Iand $CpFe(CO)_2I$. The residue was then dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (~ 10 ml), the solution was filtered and heptane (~ 10 ml) was added. The solution was placed under a slow stream of nitrogen until crystals began to form. Cooling to -20°C gave crystals of pure $CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)I$, which were isolated by filtration and washed with pentane (0.433 g, a 59% yield) (<u>vide ante</u>).

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Few thiocarbonyl complexes of iron were known before the present work. The one complex that could be easily prepared in good yield was $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$. This work was instigated to find other iron thiocarbonyl complexes that could be obtained from $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$.

A. Preparation of [CpFe(CO)₂(CS)]⁺

The $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ cation was prepared according to the following reaction:



Although an adequate method for the preparation of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ exists in the literature (42), improvements in this method cited herein give greater yields and increase the purity of the product.

[57]

The use of diethyl ether rather than benzene to extract $CpFe(CO)_2CS_2CH_3$ from the solid remaining after evaporation of the THF in the first step greatly speeds up this extraction. Then dropwise addition of a diethyl ether solution of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid, CF_3SO_3H , to this solution of $CpFe(CO)_2CS_2CH_3$ results in the precipitation of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$. This crude product is then dissolved in acetone, and amber crystals of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ are obtained by precipitation with hexanes or diethyl ether.

The color of the product is an indication of its purity. Pure $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ is bright yellow. It was found that the brown impurity (unidentified) is fairly soluble in THF, while $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ is only sparingly soluble. Thus, pure $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ could be obtained by washing the amber crystals with small amounts of THF.

The brown impurity is soluble in CH_2Cl_2 and displays IR bands of 2126 (m), 2107 (m), 2074 (sh,s), 2058 (s), 2014 (s), 1160 (br,m), 1060 (m) and 1030 (s) cm⁻¹. When this impurity was chromatographed on Florisil with CH_2Cl_2 , three bands developed. The first was orange (IR: 2035 (w), 1970 (sh,w) and 1945 (w) cm⁻¹); the second was brownish-orange (IR: 2040 (m), 1990 (m), 1975 (sh,m) and 1955 (sh,w)); and the third was brown and remained on the column. Further characterization of these materials was not attempted.

In some instances, it was more advantageous to utilize the PF_6^- salt of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ as the $CF_3SO_3^-$ anion has IR bands in the thiocarbonyl region and PF_6^- does not. In that case, HCl gas can be bubbled through the diethyl ether solution of $CpFe(CO)_2CS_2Me$ to yield $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]Cl$ which can be converted to the PF_6^- salt by metathesis with NH_4PF_6 in acetone. The precipitation and purification of

These modifications of the existing method gave consistently good yields and a pure product.

B. Preparation of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂

When $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ is reacted with sodium hydride in THF, a dark green solution is produced which contains $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$.

$$[CpFe(CO)_{2}(CS)]^{+} + NaH \xrightarrow{THF} [CpFe(CO)(CS)]_{2}$$
[58]

Thin layer chromatography of this reaction mixture shows that at least 5 separate products are formed, three of which are <u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂, <u>cis-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂</u>, and [CpFe(CO)₂]₂.

A <u>cis,trans-</u>[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ mixture can be isolated from this reaction mixture by chromatography on Florisil with benzene. The initial grey-to-dark green band eluting from the column contains the [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂. However, pure [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ is best isolated by chemical methods (<u>vide infra</u>).

Unlike its all-carbonyl analog, $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, and its monothiocarbonyl analog, $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(CS)]$, the cis and trans isomers of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ can be isolated in solution. Chromatography of the <u>cis,trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2</u> mixture on Florisil with 1/1 benzene/hexanes causes the development of a broad band in which the leading fractions are enriched in the trans isomer, while the trailing fractions are enriched in the cis isomer. The trans isomer can be isolated by fractional crystallization from CS_2 /hexanes of the material from the leading fractions. Fractional crystallization from CH_2Cl_2 /hexanes of the material in the trailing fractions, or of any <u>cis,trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ mixture in which the cis isomer predominates, yields the pure cis isomer.

Structural assignments of the cis and trans isomers of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ were based on the similarities of the positions and intensities of the terminal v(CO) infrared absorptions of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ (see Table 2) to the terminal v(CO) infrared absorptions assigned to <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>- $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ (136,137).

The $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ dimer exists in solution as a mixture of the cis and trans isomers (see Figure 2). Although the pure cis and trans isomers can be isolated at room temperature, solutions of either isomer slowly isomerize to an equilibrium cis-trans mixture. The rate of the cis-trans isomerization of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ is markedly slower than that of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ or $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(CS)]$, which attain equilibrium immediately at room temperature in solution. The isomerization of $\frac{trans}{CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2}$ to a cis-trans equilibrium mixture has been investigated at 50°C in xylene. At that temperature, the half-time to reach an equilibrium cis-trans mixture is approximately 28 minutes. Isomerization of either the pure cis or pure trans isomer to an equilibrium mixture has also been observed in other solvents and at room temperature. In fact, during the separation of cis- and trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2 by column chromatography, if the elution rate is too slow -- taking one-to-two hours for the entire band to elute --

Table 2. Infrared, ¹ H NMR, and ¹³ C NMR spectral data for <u>cis</u> - and <u>trans</u> -[CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂			
	cis-[CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂	trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂	
IR (CS ₂)			
ν(CO)	2011 vs cm ⁻¹ 1982 m	1979 vs cm ⁻¹	
v(CS)	1124 s	1131 s	
¹ h nmr (cs ₂)			
Ср	5.22 τ	5.32 τ	
¹³ C NMR (CDC1 ₃) ^a			
CS	-374.5 ^b ppm	-374.5 ppm	
CO	-208.9	-210.0	
Ср	-93.8	-96.7	

^aWith ~ 0.1 M Cr(acac)₃ added.

^bThe previously reported value of -287.6 ppm for the CS ligand (35) is in error.





trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]2



enrichment of the leading fractions in $\underline{\text{trans}}$ -[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ is not observed. Thus, isomerization on the column occurred nearly as fast as the separation.

The mechanism of the isomerization of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ has been studied extensively and is now well understood. The proposed Adams-Cotton mechanism (Figure 3) involves the symmetrical opening of the carbonyl bridges, followed by rotation about the metal-metal bond, and then reformation of the carbonyl bridges to give the other isomer. Indeed, weak bands assigned to the intermediate nonbridged isomer have been observed in infrared spectra of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ in some solvents (136). This mechanism also accounts for the isomerization of $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(CS)]$ in which one of the bridging CO's is replaced by CS. However, if both bridging CO's are replaced by CS groups, the Adams-Cotton mechanism invariably leads to a structure containing a terminal CS ligand. As no terminal CS absorptions are observed during the isomerization of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, this mechanism can be eliminated as explaining the isomerization of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$.

Wnuk and Angelici (69) have proposed two possible mechanisms for the isomerization of $[CpRu(CO)(CS)]_2$, which is isostructural with $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$. One (Scheme A) involves the direct exchange of CO and CS sites and subsequent rearrangement via the Adams-Cotton mechanism to produce the other isomer.

The other proposed mechanism (Scheme B) involves cleavage of one bridging CS bond and the metal-metal bond to give a planar threecoordinate intermediate. Rotation about the remaining bridging CS bond gives the trans isomer after closure of the remaining CS bridge.







ĊOʻ

Figure 3. Isomerization of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ by the Adams-Cotton mechanism

A third proposed mechanism (Scheme C) would involve the unsymmetric cleavage of the $[CpM(CO)(CS)]_2$ dimer, again giving a planar, threecoordinate intermediate. Then rotation about the M-M bond and subsequent reformation of the CS bridges would give the trans isomer. While it might be argued that the intermediate in this scheme would be of high energy, having formally 20 electrons around one Fe and 16 around the other, this does not preclude consideration of this mechanism. In fact, the utilization of a high energy intermediate may help explain the slower isomerization of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ compared to $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$.

All the experimental evidence at present for both the $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ and $[CpRu(CO)(CS)]_2$ dimers is consistent with any of the mechanisms given in Schemes A, B, or C (Figures 4, 5 and 6).

An x-ray crystal structure determination was performed on <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ for the purpose of comparing it with the known structure of <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)₂]₂ (138). A computer generated drawing of the molecule is shown in Figure 7. Selected interatomic distances are given in Table 3, and selected interatomic angles are given in Table 4. This structure has been previously reported (35).

With the exception of the Fe(1)-Fe(2) and the C-S bond distances, the distances and angles of <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ and <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)₂]₂ are the same. These similarities also exist in the recently reported structure of <u>cis</u>-[Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₃(CS)] (36). The Fe(1)-Fe(2) bond distance of 2.482(1) Å is significantly shorter than the 2.531(2) Å in <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)₂]₂ and the 2.505(2) Å in <u>cis</u>-[Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₃(CS)]. This suggests





















Figure 5. Isomerization of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂. Scheme B



Figure 6. Isomerization of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂. Scheme C





Table 3. Selected interatomic distances (Å) for \underline{cis} -[CpFe(CO)(CS)] ₂			
Fe(1)-Fe(2)	2.482(1)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Fe(1)-C(1)	1.912(8)	Fe(2)-C(1)	1.901(8)
Fe(1)-C(2)	1.910(7)	Fe(2)-C(2)	1.902(7)
Fe(1)-C(3)	1.723(7)	Fe(2)-C(4)	1.756(8)
Fe(1)-CG1 ^a	1.765(1)	Fe(2)-CG2 ^a	1.752(1)
Fe(1)-C(11)	2.104(8)	Fe(2)-C(21)	2.101(9)
-C(12)	2.120(8)	-C(22)	2.148(9)
-C(13)	2.100(9)	-C(23)	2.100(11)
-C(14)	2.110(10)	-C(24)	2.102(9)
-C(15)	2.131(8)	-C(25)	2.122(9)
C(1)-S(1)	1.592(8)	C(2)-S(2)	1.587(7)
C(3)-O(3)	1.166(8)	C(4)-O(4)	1.139(9)
C(11)-C(12)	1.410(16)	C(21)-C(22)	1.354(15)
C(12)-C(13)	1.398(15)	C(22)-C(23)	1.369(15)
C(13)-C(14)	1.396(14)	C(23)-C(24)	1.361(14)
C(14)-C(15)	1.361(15)	C(24)-C(25)	1.369(15)
C(15)-C(11)	1.379(15)	C(25)-C(11)	1.422(18)

^aCG1 and CG2 are the centers of gravity for cyclopentadienyl ring 1 (C(11)-C(15)) and 2 (C(21)-C(25)), respectively.

Table 4. Selected	l interatomic	angles (°) for <u>cis</u> -[CpFe(CO)	(CS)] ₂
Fe(1)-C(1)-Fe(2)	81,2(3)	Fe(1)-C(1)-S(1)	138.5(5)
Fe(1)-C(2)-Fe(2)	81.2(3)	Fe(1)-C(2)-S(2)	139.2(5)
C(1)-Fe(1)-C(2)	96.8(3)	Fe(2)-C(1)-S(1)	140.3(5)
C(1)-Fe(2)-C(2)	97.5(3)	Fe(2)-C(2)-S(2)	139.5(5)
C(1)-Fe(1)-C(3)	89.5(3)	C(1)-Fe(2)-C(4)	88.2(3)
C(2)-Fe(1)-C(3)	87.9(3)	C(2)-Fe(2)-C(4)	89.8(3)
C(1)-Fe(1)-CG1 ^a	122.6(2)	C(1)-Fe(2)-CG2 ^a	124.4(2)
C(2)-Fe(1)-CG1	125.2(2)	C(2)-Fe(2)-CG2	123.1(2)
C(3)-Fe(1)-CG1	124.9(3)	C(4)-Fe(2)-CG2	124.2(3)
Fe(1)-C(3)-O(3)	178.5(7)	Fe(2)-C(4)-O(4)	177.8(8)
C(11)-C(12)-C(13)	105.6(9)	C(21)-C(22)-C(23)	104.7(11)
C(12)-C(13)-C(14)	107.7(9)	C(22)-C(23)-C(24)	111.5(11)
C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	109.7(10)	C(23)-C(24)-C(25)	108.1(11)
C(14)-C(15)-C(11)	107.1(11)	C(24)-C(25)-C(21)	104.8(10)
C(15)-C(11)-C(12)	109.8(10)	C(25)-C(21)-C(22)	110.9(12)
Fe(1)-CG1-C(11)	89.2(4)	Fe(2)-CG2-C(21)	90.7(5)
Fe(1)-CG1-C(12)	88.5(4)	Fe(2)-CG2-C(22)	91.2(4)
Fe(1)-CG1-C(13)	88.4(4)	Fe(2)-CG2-C(23)	90.4(5)
Fe(1)-CG1-C(14)	90.2(5)	Fe(2)-CG2-C(24)	89.6(5)
Fe(1)-CG1-C(15)	90.5(4)	Fe(2)-CG2-C(25)	90.4(4)
Fe(1)-Fe(2)-C(4)	98.8(3)	Fe(2)-Fe(1)-C(3)	98.3(3)
Fe(1)-Fe(2)-CG2	137.0(1)	Fe(2)-Fe(1)-CG1	136.8(1)

^aCG1 and CG2 are the centers of gravity for cyclopentadienyl ring 1 (C(11)-C(15)) and 2 (C(21)-C(25)), respectively.

Table 4. (Continued)

Torsional Angles

C(3)-Fe(1)-Fe(2)-C(4)	2.1(3)
C(3)-Fe(1)-Fe(2)-CG2	177.8(3)
CG1-Fe(1)-Fe(2)-CG2	3.2(2)
CG1-Fe(1)-Fe(2)-C(4)	176.8(3)

Dihedral Angle

Fe(1)Fe(2)C(2)-Fe(1)Fe(2)C(1) 163.4

stronger bonding between the two halves of the dimer as the number of bridging CS ligands increases in the molecule. The C-S bond distances of 1.592(8) Å and 1.587(7) Å are longer than the 1.51-1.54 Å distances observed for terminal C=S ligands (3).

The bridging CS groups are bent away from the <u>cis</u>-cyclopentadienyl ligands at a dihedral angle of 163.4° .

C. Reactions of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂

1. Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with nucleophiles and electrophiles

Terminal thiocarbonyl ligands have been shown to react with amines to form coordinated isocyanide ligands as shown in equation 59.

$$M-C\equiv S + H_{p}NR \longrightarrow M-C\equiv N-R + H_{p}S$$
[59]

The mechanism is believed to proceed by nucleophilic attack at the thiocarbonyl C atom forming an aminothiocarbene intermediate, M-C(SH)NHR, which then decomposes to the observed products (70).

A bridging thiocarbonyl ligand can be envisioned to react by a similar mechanism to give a bridging isocyanide complex. However, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ is not observed to react with the primary amines, methyl amine and cyclohexyl amine, at room temperature in solution. Nucleophilic attack by water is also not observed.

Electron-rich metal terminal thiocarbonyl complexes have been shown to form Lewis acid adducts at the sulfur atom, a behavior rarely observed in electron-rich metal carbonyl complexes.

$$W(CO)(CS)(diphos)_2 + HgX_2 \longrightarrow [(diphos)_2(CO)W(CS-HgX_2)] (64) [60]$$

Metal carbonyl complexes containing bridging carbonyl ligands form Lewis acid adducts at the oxygen of the bridging carbonyl ligand (139). Thus, it was expected that [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ would react with Lewis acids to form sulfur-bonded adducts. This is indeed the case.

With the Lewis acid $HgCl_2$, a <u>cis,trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]</u> mixture reacts in solution to form the adduct [Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₂(CS)(CS-HgCl₂)]:

$$[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2 + HgCl_2 \xrightarrow{Et_2O} [Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-HgCl_2)] [61]$$

The characterized product is a black air-stable powder; however, if the reaction is conducted in CH_2Cl_2 , a red-orange product is formed. There appears to be no difference in the solid-state infrared spectra of the two products; however, they may not be identical. Only the one-to-one adduct appears to form, even with excess HgCl₂.

The carbonyl stretching frequencies of the HgCl₂ adduct (2028 vs; 2019 sh,s; and 1995 s cm⁻¹) are \sim 15 cm⁻¹ higher than in the starting [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ dimer. The \vee (CS) absorption of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ is split by the adduct formation, with the uncomplexed CS ligand of the adduct absorbing 55 cm⁻¹ higher and the complexed CS ligand absorbing 6 cm⁻¹ lower than the 1124 cm⁻¹ of the parent [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂.

The $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-HgCl_2)]$ adduct appears to exist in both the cis and trans isomers, as the intensities of the v(CO) frequencies are characteristic of a cis-trans mixture. (The cis isomer should have terminal v(CO) intensities similar to those in <u>cis-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2</u> (see Table 2); the same reasoning can be applied to the trans isomer (see Table 2).) As the two major terminal v(CO) intensities of $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-HgCl_2)]$ are roughly equal, a cis-trans mixture appears to be present. Whether the presence of both cis and trans isomers of $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-HgCl_2)]$ is due to isomerization of the adduct, or due to formation of adducts with both isomers of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ is not evident, although the latter seems more probable.

The starting material, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, can be regenerated from $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-HgCl_2)]$ in solution by addition of NH₃ to remove the HgCl₂.

Organic electrophiles also react with $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ at the sulfur atom. As reported in a previous paper (35), CH_3SO_3F reacts with <u>cis-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2</u> to give $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-CH_3)]^+$, an air-stable black solid which was isolated as its PF_6^- salt.

 $\underline{cis}-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_{2} + CH_{3}SO_{3}F \xrightarrow{CH_{2}Cl_{2}} [Cp_{2}Fe_{2}(CO)_{2}(CS)(CS-CH_{3})]^{+} [62]$

Alkylation of the sulfur atom of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ also occurs using neat methyl iodide, a weaker electrophile. The product is the same, after PF_6^- anion exchange, as that obtained with methyl fluorosulfonate.

The similarities in the intensities of the v(CO) absorptions of $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-CH_3)]^+$ (Table 5) to those in <u>cis-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]</u> (Table 2) suggest that the cyclopentadienyl rings are cis to each other as in the starting material. Alkylation of the sulfur atom in $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-CH_3)]^+$ causes the v(CO) frequencies to increase by v_{30} cm⁻¹, the v(CS) frequency of the unalkylated CS to increase by

1 ¹ H NMR spectra of [Cp ₂ Fe ₂ (CO) ₂ (CS)(CS-CH ₃)]PF ₆
2043 s, 2011 m, 1178 s, 1070 w, and 1036 m cm $^{-1}$
τ 6.20 (CH ₃)
4.17 (Cp)
4.27 (Cp)

 \sim 55 cm⁻¹, and the ν (CS) of the alkylated CS to decrease by \sim 90 cm⁻¹ from the starting material, <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂. The alkylated CS infrared absorption also decreases in intensity and broadens.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-CH_3)]^+$ indicates that the C=S-CH₃ bond is bent producing inequivalent cyclopentadienyl rings.

The cis arrangement of the cyclopentadienyl rings and the bent C=S-CH₃ bond of $[Cp_2Fe_2(C0)_2(CS)(CS-CH_3)]^+$ is supported by the recent crystal structure of $[Cp_2Fe_2(C0)_3(CS-Et)]^+$ which displays similar infrared terminal v(CO) absorption intensities (51,61).

The rate of reaction of neat methyl iodide with both <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ is sufficiently slow at room temperature to permit monitoring the reaction. The rate of reaction of



Figure 8. \underline{cis} -[Cp₂Fe₂(CO)(CS)(CS-CH₃)]⁺

 $trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with the neat CH_3I is much slower than that of cis-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂. (The $t_{1/4}$ is ~ 60 minutes for trans-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ vs. a $t_{1/4}$ of 8 minutes for cis-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ at room temperature in neat CH_3I .) The same cis product is observed for both isomers, although there is extensive decomposition in the reaction of the trans isomer. The growth of an infrared band at 2004 $\rm cm^{-1}$, characteristic of \underline{cis} -[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂, before observation of IR bands due to the product, $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-CH_3)]^+$, in the reaction of CH_3I with trans-[CpFe(C0)(CS)], would suggest that trans-[CpFe(C0)(CS)] isomerizes to \underline{cis} -[CpFe(CO)(CS)], which then reacts to form the product. This also accounts for the slower reaction of trans- $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with CH_3I , and supports the supposition that the cis isomer is somehow more nucleophilic than the trans isomer. (This has been proposed by Quick (61) to account for the observation that only \underline{cis} -[Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₃(CS-R)]⁺ is formed in the reaction of the monothiocarbonyl dimer, $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(CS)]$, with alkyl halides.) There is no obvious steric preference for the cis isomer of $[Cp_{2}Fe_{2}(CO)_{2}(CS)(CS-CH_{3})]^{+}.$

Electrophilic attack at the sulfur atom also appears to occur in the reaction of <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ with Ag⁺. A red-violet precipitate forms upon reaction of <u>cis</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ with AgPF₆ in benzene at room temperature. The infrared spectrum of the solid (IR (Nujol mull): 2040 vs, 2007 s, 1993 s, 1090 br, s and 1020 br, s cm⁻¹) would suggest its formulation as $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(CS)(CS-Ag)]PF_6$. However, the product was not further characterized.

2. Cleavage reactions of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂

The all carbonyl complex, $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, undergoes both oxidative and reductive cleavage to yield monomeric cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl complexes. As noted in the Introduction, oxidative cleavage of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ can occur using halogens (76,89,90) and HC1/0₂ (76).

$$[CpFe(C0)_2]_2 + I_2 \longrightarrow 2 CpFe(C0)_2 I \qquad [63]$$

Reductive cleavage is typically conducted with sodium amalgam (76).

$$[CpFe(CO)_2]_2 + 2 Na/Hg \xrightarrow{THF} 2 Na[CpFe(CO)_2] [64]$$

It was of interest to ascertain whether the reactivity of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_{2}$ would parallel that of $[CpFe(CO)_{2}]_{2}$.

In contrast to the cleavage of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ by $HC1/O_2$ (76) and HBF_4/O_2 (91), the bisthiocarbonyl analog, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, remains intact upon attack by $HC1/O_2$ in EtOH/CHCl₃ at room temperature. Indeed this contrast in reactivity can be used in the isolation and purification of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$.

In the preparation of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, after the reaction of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ with NaH, the solution is evaporated to dryness. The residue is extracted with benzene, and this solution is filtered through Celite to yield a dark brownish-green solution. This filtrate contains $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, small amounts of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, possibly $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(CS)]_3$, and some unknown by-products. As previously discussed, the $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ can be isolated by column chromatography; however, as all three dimers color the column dark brown, the band

separations are difficult to ascertain. Invariably, the [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ product contains small amounts of the other two dimers, and must be purified by fractional crystallization.

Alternately, the benzene extract containing $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ can be filtered through Florisil and evaporated to dryness. The residue is then reacted with $HC1/O_2$ in $CHCl_3/EtOH$, which converts the $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ and $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(CS)]$ to $CpFe(CO)_2Cl$ and CpFe(CO)(CS)Cl. Separation of the unreacted $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ from $CpFe(CO)_2Cl$ and CpFe(CO)(CS)Clis then easy as the later compounds are somewhat water soluble.

Although this method may seem more complicated than chromatography for the isolation of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, it is preferable as it is 1) faster, 2) easier to scale up, and 3) gives pure $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ without resorting to fractional crystallization.

Bromine can cleave $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ in refluxing CHCl₃ in approximately 20 minutes to yield CpFe(CO)(CS)Br, a tangerine air-stable solid.

$$[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2 + Br_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} 2 CpFe(CO)(CS)Br$$
[65]

The infrared spectrum of CpFe(CO)(CS)Br (see Table 7) shows one terminal carbonyl absorption (2033 cm⁻¹) and one terminal thiocarbonyl absorption (1309 cm⁻¹) as expected. The cyclopentadienyl resonance at 4.90 τ in the ¹H NMR is a singlet, also as expected.

At low temperature (0°C) addition of Br_2 to $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ results in a black precipitate which dries to a red-orange solid. The infrared spectrum of this orange solid in CH₃CN (2104 sh, w; 2084 s, 2039 m, 1325 s, and 1179 m cm⁻¹) suggests its tentative identification as {[CpFe(C0)(CS)]₂Br}X. The assignment of a structure to this product is by analogy to the formation of {[CpFe(C0)₂]₂X}X upon addition of X₂ to [CpFe(C0)₂]₂ at low temperature (98). The presence of one terminal v(C0) absorption (2084 cm⁻¹) and one terminal v(CS)absorption (1325 cm⁻¹) in the infrared spectrum of the product suggests a structure with no bridging thiocarbonyl ligands as shown in Figure 9.

Haines and DuPreez (98) have studied the oxidation of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ by halogens and have concluded that the oxidation proceeds through a $\{[CpFe(CO)_2]_2X\}X$ intermediate to the $CpFe(CO)_2X$ product. Indeed, these intermediates have been isolated and characterized. Similarly, the proposed $\{[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2Br\}X$ product slowly converts upon standing in solution to CpFe(CO)(CS)Br.

Addition of Cl_2 to $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ at low temperature in CCl_4 also results in a precipitate. By analogy with the bromine reaction (<u>vide</u> <u>supra</u>) and with the all carbonyl system, this emerald-green product is tentatively identified as $\{[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2Cl\}X$. The product dissolves in CH_3CN to give an intense blue-green solution; however, decomposition to a light brown solution occurs during attempts to obtain an infrared spectrum. It also reacts with acetone to give a light brown solution. Neither of these light brown solutions displays the infrared spectrum of the expected decomposition product, CpFe(CO)(CS)Cl. Infrared spectra of both light brown solutions show only weak bands in the carbonyl region. Further characterization of this product was not attempted.



Figure 9. Proposed structure of {[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂Br}⁺

Iodine cleaves $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ in refluxing CH₃CH; however, the yield of CpFe(CO)(CS)I is poor (19%). From the infrared spectrum of the reaction mixture taken before refluxing was started, it appears that approximately 1/3 of the $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ had reacted at room temperature in 30 minutes to form the proposed intermediate, $\{[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2I\}^+$. As was the case with the bromine analog, $\{[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2I\}^+$ displays one terminal $\nu(CO)$ absorption (2084 cm⁻¹) and one terminal $\nu(CS)$ absorption (1325 cm⁻¹) in this infrared spectrum.

In refluxing CHCl₃ with I₂, where $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ is completely converted to $CpFe(CO)_2I$ in 30 minutes (76), after 40 minutes approximately two-thirds of the starting $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ is still unreacted.

It has, therefore, been shown that halogens can cleave $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ to form CpFe(CO)(CS)X (X = Br, I); however, there are better routes to these complexes (<u>vide post</u>).

Although $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ can be cleaved by reduction with Na/Hg (76) and other reducing agents (see II. Review of Literature, p. 21), this does not appear to be the case with $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$. With an excess (>2:1) of the reducing agents Na/Hg, C_8K , or NaK_{2.8}, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ reacts to form a gray to dark brown precipitate which is insoluble in common solvents. The identity of this reduction product is open to speculation. It shows no apparent reaction with MeI or ϕ_3 SnC1. It does dissolve in hexamethylphosphortriamide to give a deep red solution, however, again no apparent reactions occur with MeI or ϕ_3 SnC1, and its infrared spectrum is ill-defined. With a slight one-to-one excess of Na/Hg in THF, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ reacts to give a red solution whose infrared spectrum is relatively unchanged from that of the starting $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$. Addition of CH_3I to this solution in hopes of obtaining a stable derivative gave no color change, which would suggest that no reaction occurred. Evaporation of this solution to dryness and extraction of the residue with CS₂ gave an air-sensitive red solution. The infrared spectrum of this solution (2038 w, 2014 vs, 1983 m, 1776 m and 1124 s cm⁻¹) would suggest that it contains $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$. However, the color, airsensitivity, and ¹H NMR spectrum (τ 5.30 s, 5.92 s, 8.42 s and 8.78 m) would argue against this.

Reduction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with an excess of the homogeneous reducing agent sodium naphthalide (135) in THF gave an olive-green solution. Again, a stable derivative was sought by addition of ϕ_3 SnCl. No reaction was apparent, and after exposure to air only a small amount of the starting $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ was recovered.

As stated above, the reduction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ does not appear to result in the cleavage of the $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ dimer, unlike the reduction of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ and $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(CS)]$ (61). Apparently, three different reduction products can be obtained depending upon the choice of reductants and the stoichiometry of the reaction. All products are air-sensitive and do not oxidize back to the dimer as does $[CpFe(CO)_2]^-$. Speculation as to the identity of these products is unwarranted until further study offers more clues. Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with sodium hydride does not occur.

To summarize the oxidation and reduction reactions of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂:

1) Oxidation of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ with halogens does result in cleavage of the dimer similar to $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, and indications are that the cleavage proceeds similar to that of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$. However, the reaction conditions for the cleavage are harsher than those for $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ supporting the postulation that the two halves of the $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ dimer are bound more tightly together than in $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$.

2) Reduction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ does not cleave the dimer as does reduction of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ and $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(CS)]$; and the reduction products are as yet unidentified.

3. Other reactions of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂

As the tetrahedral iron cluster compound, $[CpFe(CO)]_4$, can be produced by photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, it was of interest to see if the thiocarbonyl analog, $[CpFe(CS)]_4$, could be produced in a similar manner. This is not the case, however. Photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ in CHCl₃ given a black residue which is soluble in CH₃CN. The infrared spectrum of the material (2049 vs, 2024 sh, m; 1181 s and 1004 m cm⁻¹) would suggest a tentative identification as $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)(CS)_2]_2$ (see Figure 10). The frequencies and intensities of the thiocarbonyl absorptions (1181 s and 1004 m cm⁻¹) are similar to those of the Lewis acid adducts of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ at the sulfur atom (<u>vide ante</u>). Also, photolysis of carbonyl complexes is known to typically result in CO


Figure 10. Proposed structure of $\underline{cis}, \underline{cis} - [Cp_2Fe_2(CO)(CS)_2]_2$

ligand displacement (140), and has been shown to occur with $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ in the presence of a neutral ligand (80). Thus, CO displacement in two $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ units, followed by reciprocal adduct formation to form $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)(CS)_2]_2$ is reasonable. However, the product was not further characterized.

In view of the above, it is not surprising that attempts to prepare $[CpFe(CS)]_4$ under conditions similar to those used in the preparation of $[CpFe(CO)]_4$ were unsuccessful.

D. Reactions of [CpFe(CO)₂(CS)]⁺

Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ with an equimolar amount of an alkali metal halide, MX, in THF at room temperature gives a mixture of CpFe(CO)(CS)X and $CpFe(CO)_2X$. The ratio of the two products appears

$$[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3 + MX \xrightarrow{THF} CpFe(CO)(CS)X + CpFe(CO)_2X [66]$$

to be dependent upon both the cation and the anion of MX; however, the relationship between the alkali metal halide and the $[CpFe(CO)(CS)X]/[CpFe(CO)_2X]$ ratio is not obvious (see Table 6). The physical properties of CpFe(CO)(CS)X and CpFe(CO)₂X are quite similar, and separation of the two complexes by fractional crystallization, chromatography, or sublimation is difficult. Hence, other methods for preparing the CpFe(CO)(CS)X complexes were investigated.

While the reaction of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ with alkali metal halides gives a mixture of CpFe(CO)(CS)X and CpFe(CO)₂X, its reaction

MX		[CpFe(CO)(CS)X]/[CpFe(CO) ₂ X] ^a
	······································	
ΚI		2.9
KC1		0.64
NaC1		1.4
LiC1		4.4
LiBr		0.19
LiI		3.3
Et ₄ NI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.55

^aEstimated from the infrared spectra, assuming equal molar absorptivities for the v(CO) absorption in CpFe(CO)(CS)X and CpFe(CO)₂X.

The effect of MX upon the $[CpFe(CO)(CS)X]/[CpFe(CO)_2X]$ ratio Table 6. for the reaction:

with the pseudohalide, NaCN, does not appear to yield either CpFe(CO)(CS)CN or $CpFe(CO)_2CN$. The infrared spectrum of the CS_2 soluble product (IR (CS_2): 2057 s; 2041 sh, s; 2033 s; 1992 vs; 1950 w; 1280 w; 1250 w; and 1208 s cm⁻¹) does display absorptions in the thiocarbonyl region (1350-1000 cm⁻¹); however, the identity of the product(s) is not clear. Further characterization of the product(s) was not attempted.

Photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ in CH_2Cl_2 gives the red airsensitive complex $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$:

$$[CpFe(C0)_{2}(CS)]CF_{3}SO_{3} \xrightarrow{h_{v}} CpFe(C0)(CS)CF_{3}SO_{3} + C0$$
[67]

From its infrared spectrum in CH_2Cl_2 , the complex appears to exist as an ion pair, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(S)]CF_3SO_3$ (S = CH_2Cl_2), as the absorptions due to the anion, $CF_3SO_3^-$ (1269 vs; 1224 m; 1160 br, m; and 1033 vs cm^{-1}) are relatively unchanged from those in $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$. However, the red oil is soluble in nonpolar solvents such as hexene and CS_2 . In CS_2 , the infrared spectrum show a shift in anion absorptions to 1333 s, 1231 vs and 1195 s cm^{-1} which suggests that the $CF_3SO_3^-$ is coordinated to the metal through an oxygen atom (a similar shift in the infrared absorptions of SO_3F^- occurs upon coordination to a metal atom (141)).

Otherwise, the spectral data are as expected for $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ displaying a single terminal v(CO) absorption (2051 s cm⁻¹) and a single terminal v(CS) absorption (1322 vs cm⁻¹) in the infrared spectrum in CH₂Cl₂; a singlet in the ¹H NMR spectrum (4.76 τ) in CS₂; and absorptions for CS (-321.7 δ), CO (-207.8 δ), and Cp (-88.0 δ) in the ¹³C NMR in CDCl₃.

The utility of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ for the synthesis of other cyclopentadienyliron thiocarbonyl complexes will be discussed later.

In other solvents such as THF or acetone, photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ did not yield the expected $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]^+$ (L = THF or acetone) cation. Small amounts of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3 may be formed in these solvents as evidenced by a slight reddish color and weak bands in the infrared spectrum. However, prolonged irradiation does not increase the yield; instead, decomposition products fog the walls of the irradiation vessel and prevent further photolysis of the solution.

If the PF_6^- salt of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ is used, photolysis in acetone gives an air-sensitive product which appears to be $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(acetone)]PF_6$ (see Table 8 for its IR spectrum)

 $[CpFe(CO)_{2}(CS)]PF_{6} \xrightarrow{hv} [CpFe(CO)(CS)(acetone)]PF_{6} + (CO)$

This brown oil decomposes slowly even under nitrogen, and is of little synthetic utility. Irradiation of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ in other solvents, such as THF or CH_2Cl_2 , is unsuccessful in producing $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(THF)]PF_6$ or $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(S)]PF_6$. Again, decomposition products quickly fog the walls of the irradiation vessel precluding further reaction.

Photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]X$ (X = PF_6 or CF_3SO_3) in acetonitrile does not stop with the ejection of one carbonyl ligand, but goes fully to $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]^+$ (for spectral data see Table 11).

$$\left[CpFe(CO)_{2}(CS)\right]^{+} \xrightarrow{hv} CH_{3}CN \rightarrow \left[CpFe(CS)(CH_{3}CN)_{2}\right]^{+} \qquad [69]$$

The yellow-green air-stable solid is isolated in good yield. It dissolves in polar organic solvents, and decomposes in water.

Irradiation of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ with Me_2S in acetone will also cause both carbonyl ligands to be displaced forming $[CpFe(CS)(Me_2S)_2]PF_6$ (see Table 12 for spectral data). Photolysis

$$[CpFe(CO)_{2}(CS)]PF_{6} + 2 Me_{2}S \xrightarrow{hv} [CpFe(CS)(Me_{2}S)_{2}]PF_{6} + 2 CO [70]$$

of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ with di-n-butyl sulfide also appears to give the disubstituted product. The $[CpFe(CS)(Me_2S)_2]^+$ complex can be obtained as black crystals by crystallization from its dark green solution in $CH_2Cl_2/CHCl_3$. The $(n-Bu)_2S$ complex does not crystallize but forms a dark green oil.

Irradiation of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ with $P\phi_3$ in acetone does not go completely to $[CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)_2]PF_6$, but to a mixture of the mono- and bis-substituted cations, even upon prolonged irradiation.

In all the photolysis reactions, prolonged irradiation results in some decomposition products, which deposit on the walls of the photolysis vessel and decrease the light transmitted to the solution, thus preventing further reaction.

Photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ has thus been shown to be an effective method of removing one or two of the carbonyl ligands. Two of the resulting compounds, $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ and $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$, are useful intermediates in producing other thiocarbonyl complexes of iron (vide post).

E. Reactions of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃

Using $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$, facile routes to the complexes CpFe(CO)(CS)X (X = I, Br and C1) and $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF_3SO_3$ have been developed.

1. <u>CpFe(CO)(CS)X complexes</u>

Reactions of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ with halide ions give the CpFe(CO)(CS)X (X = I, Br and Cl) complexes. The yields of

$$CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_{3}SO_{3} + KX \longrightarrow CpFe(CO)(CS)X + KCF_{3}SO_{3}$$
[71]

CpFe(CO)(CS)X depend upon the halide ion, being greatest for iodide and lowest for chloride. The formation of a $CpFe(CO)_2X$ impurity is also dependent upon the halide ion, but decreases in the order: Cl>Br>I. The CpFe(CO)₂X impurity probably forms as a result of the decomposition of some of the CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ providing free CO in solution. The yield of CpFe(CO)(CS)X (a maximum of 64% for CpFe(CO)(CS)I) is less than the estimated yield of $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ so some decomposition does occur.

As stated earlier, the physical properties of the CpFe(CO)(CS)X and CpFe(CO)₂X complexes are very similar. Separation can be

accomplished by fractional crystallization or liquid chromatography; however, the separation is not easy. Thus, for utilization in further syntheses, it is desirable to use the halide that gives the greatest yield and least impurity, CpFe(CO)(CS)I.

The spectra (Table 7) of the CpFe(CO)(CS)X complexes are as expected, each displaying a single v(CO) absorption and a single v(CS) absorption in the infrared, and a singlet for the cyclopentadienyl ring in the ¹H NMR.

	IR		¹ H NMR
X	$v(CO), cm^{-1}$	ν(CS), cm ⁻¹	Ср, т
I	2024 s ^a	1306 vs ^a	4.93 ^a
Br	2033 s ^a	1309 vs ^a	5.00 ^a
C1	2036 s ^a	1310 vs ^a	
050 ₂ cf ₃	2051 s ^b 2054 s ^a	1322 vs ^b 1318 vs ^a	4.76 ^C

Table 7. Infrared and ¹H NMR spectra of CpFe(CO)(CS)X complexes

^aCS₂ solvent.

^bCH₂Cl₂ solvent.

^CCDC1₃ solvent.

2. [CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF₃SO₃ complexes

The monosubstituted $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF_3SO_3$ complexes are produced by action of a neutral ligand, L, on $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$. For the

$$CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_{3}SO_{3} + L \longrightarrow [CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF_{3}SO_{3}$$
[72]

ligands triphenylphosphine, pyridine, and acetonitrile, the reaction proceeds smoothly to a single product, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF_3SO_3$, which precipitates out of the relatively nonpolar solution $(Et_2O \text{ or } CH_2Cl_2/hexanes)$. The products are pure and easily isolated.

However, with the ligands, triphenylphosphite, trimethylphosphite and di-n-butyl sulfice, the reaction gives a mixture of the monosubstituted, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]^+$, and bis-substituted, $[CpFe(CS)(L)_2]^+$, cations. The products are all tars or oils, and were not isolated, although they were identified spectroscopically.

The formation of both $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]^{+}$ and $[CpFe(CS)(L)_{2}]^{+}$ with $P(0\phi)_{3}$, $P(OMe)_{3}$, and $(n-Bu)_{2}S$ is probably due to the increased solubility of their monosubstituted cations. With these ligands, the $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF_{3}SO_{3}$ precipitate does not form as rapidly as with $P\phi_{3}$; hence, further substitution can occur.

The $P\phi_3$ -substituted cation, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(P\phi_3)]^+$, has been prepared previously by thermal substitution of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ (53). However, the photochemical method is faster, gives a higher yield, and does not have the $[CpFe(CO)_2(P\phi_3)]^+$ contaminant.

Attempts to prepare olefin-substituted cations, [CpFe(CO)(CS)(olefin)]CF₃SO₃, by reaction of CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ with

108

L	v(CO), cm ⁻¹	v(CS), cm ⁻¹
P _{\$\phi_3}	2034 s ^a	1323 vs ^a
ру	2045 s ^a	1321 vs ^a
CH ₂ CN	2056 s ^a	1327 vs ^a
$P(0_{\phi})_{3}^{b}$	2051 s ^a	1337 vs ^a
P(OMe) ₃ ^b	2043 s ^a	1331 vs ^a
Bu ₂ S ^b	2040 s ^a	1323 vs ^a
Acetone ^b	2031 s ^C	1303 vs ^C

Table 8. Infrared spectra of [CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF₃SO₃ complexes

^aCH₃CN solvent,

^bComplexes were not isolated.

^CAcetone solvent.

	_	
L	Cp, τ	Other resonances, τ
P _{\$\phi_3}	4.45 (d, J = 1.0 Hz) ^a	2.27-2.72 (_{\$)} ª
py	4.32 ^a	1.07-2.43 (m, py) ^a
CH ₃ CN	4.33 ^a	7.49 (CH ₃ CN) ^a
Ρ(0φ) ^b	4.70 (d, J = 0.9 Hz) ^a	2.33-2.80 (m, ϕ) ^{a,C}
P(OMe) ₃ ^b	4.28 (d, J = 1.1 Hz) ^a	5.90-6.27 (m, Me) ^{a,C}
Bu ₂ S ^b	4.28 ^a	6.90-7.32 (m, S-CH ₂ -),
	8.0	08-9.25 (m, -CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃) ^{a,c}

Table 9. ¹H NMR spectra of [CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]CF₃SO₃ complexes

^ad⁶-Acetone solvent.

^bComplexes were not isolated.

 $^{\rm C}Resonances$ are mixed with those of the bis-substituted cation, $[{\rm CpFe(CS)(L)}_2]^{\rm T}.$

the olefins cyclopentene or norbornadiene were unsuccessful. No reaction was apparent and the CpFe(CO)(CS)CF₃SO₃ slowly decomposed during the reaction. This would suggest that $CF_3SO_3^-$ is a stronger ligand than an olefin. Also, attempts to prepare [CpFe(CO)(CS)(olefin)]⁺ by direct photolysis of [CpFe(CO)₂(CS)]PF₆ in CH_2Cl_2 with cyclohexene present were unsuccessful.

F. Reactions of CpFe(CO)(CS)I

The $CpFe(CO)_2 X$ (X = Cl, Br and I) complexes react thermally with phosphines to form CpFe(CO)(L)X and $[CpFe(CO)_2(L)]X$ (108,109). With the phosphites, only the neutral substituted halides, CpFe(CO)(L)X, are formed (109,110).

Thermal substitution occurs in the thiocarbonyl analog, CpFe(CO)(CS)I, according to the following equation:

$$CpFe(CO)(CS)I + L \xrightarrow{\Delta} CpFe(CS)(L)I + CO$$
 [73]

The neutral substituted halide, CpFe(CS)(L)I, is the only product when L = $P\phi_3$, As ϕ_3 , Sb ϕ_3 , P(OMe)₃ and P(O ϕ)₃. With the stronger nucleophile, PEt₃, both CpFe(CO)(PEt₃)I and [CpFe(CO)(CS)(PEt₃)]I appear to form.

 $CpFe(CO)(CS)I + PEt_{3} \xrightarrow{\Delta} CpFe(CS)(PEt_{3})I + [CpFe(CO)(CS)(PEt_{3})]I [74]$

The CpFe(CO)(CS)I starting material used for the preparation of these CpFe(CS)(L)I complexes contained a small amount of CpFe(CO) $_{2}$ I

Table 10. Infrared and ¹H NMR spectra of the CpFe(CS)(L)I complexes

	IR		¹ H NMR		
L	ν(CS), cm ⁻¹	Ср, т	Other resonances, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$		
PEt ₃	1272 ^a	5.36 (d, J=0.8 Hz) ^a	7.68-8.27 (m, -CH ₂ -),		
			8.57-8.13 (m, -CH ₃) ^a		
P _{\$\phi_3}	1271 ^a	5.58 (d, $J = 0.9 Hz)^a$	2.22-2.83 (m, ϕ) ^a		
As¢ ₃	1271 ^a	5.50 ^a (s)	2.33-2.80 (m, ϕ) ^a		
Sbø3	1278 ^a	5.39 ^a (s)	2.37-2.83 (m, ϕ) ^a		
P(OMe) ₃	1278 ^a	5.31 ^a (s)	6.29 (d, J=11 Hz, Me) ^a		
Ρ(0φ) ₃	1289 ^a	5.88 ^a (s)	2.55-2.92 (m, ϕ) ^a		
сн _з си ^ь	1277 ^C	5.22 ^d (s)			

^aCS₂ solvent.

^bComplex was not isolated.

^CCH₃CN solvent.

^dCD₃CN solvent.

impurity. As a result, some CpFe(CO)(L)I and/or $[CpFe(CO)_2(PEt_3)]I$ was also formed during these reactions. It is, therefore, impossible to state that no CS substitution occurred when CpFe(CO)(CS)I was reacted with the neutral ligands. However, if CS substitution did occur, its extent was certainly small.

The rate of CO substitution in CpFe(CO)(CS)I is markedly faster than in CpFe(CO)₂I. Formation of the triphenylphosphite substituted halide, CpFe(CS)(P{0 ϕ }₃)I, is complete in 12 hours versus 24 hours for CpFe(CO)(P{0 ϕ }₃)I (110). Also, the reaction of CpFe(CO)(CS)I with P ϕ ₃ to form CpFe(CS)(P ϕ ₃)I is complete in 3 hours, while CpFe(CO)₂I takes 18 hours to form [CpFe(CO)₂(P ϕ ₃)]I and CpFe(CO)(P ϕ ₃)I (108). These reactions were all conducted in refluxing benzene.

Both the preference for CO substitution over CS substitution in CpFe(CO)(CS)I and the increased rate of CO substitution in CpFe(CO)(CS)I<u>vs</u>. $CpFe(CO)_2I$ suggest that the Fe-CS bond is stronger than the Fe-CO bond.

Inasmuch as the reduction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ failed to give any easily characterized reduced thiocarbonyl species (<u>vide ante</u>), several attempts at reducing CpFe(CO)(CS)I were tried.

Attempted reduction of CpFe(CO)(CS)I with Mg or Mg/Hg gave no apparent reaction. Reduction of CpFe(CO)(CS)I with either Zr/Hg or methyllithium gave $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ as the major product. An attempt to produce CpFe(CO)(CS)Co(CO)₄ by reaction of CpFe(CO)(CS)I with Na[Co(CO)₄] gave no identifiable thiocarbonyl-containing products (as the product apparently did not contain the CS ligand, it was not characterized).

G. Reactions of [CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)₂]PF₆

A general characteristic of organonitrile transition metal complexes is the ease with which the organonitrile ligand can be replaced by other ligands (142). Thus, it was expected that the acetonitrile ligands in [CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)₂]PF₆ could be replaced by Group VA ligands to form new iron thiocarbonyl complexes as shown in equation [75]:

$$[CpFe(CS)(CH_{3}CN)_{2}]PF_{6} + 2 L \longrightarrow [CpFe(CS)(L)_{2}]PF_{6} + 2 CH_{3}CN$$

$$(75]$$

The bidentate ligands o-phenanthroline and 2,2'-bipyridine do displace both acetonitrile ligands to form $[CpFe(CS)(phen)]PF_6$ and $[CpFe(CS)(bipy)]PF_6$, respectively. The yields are low, with the

 $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6 + L-L \xrightarrow{CH_2Cl_2} [CpFe(CS)(L-L)]PF_6 + 2 CH_3CN [76]$ L-L = phen, bipy

major by-product apparently being $[Fe(phen)_3](PF_6)_2$ and $[Fe(bipy)_3](PF_6)_2$, respectively. These $[Fe(L-L)_3]^{2+}$ complexes appear to be the major products of these reactions even when stoichiometric amounts of each ligand were slowly added to the solution of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$. There was no evidence of other thiocarbonyl-containing products in these reactions.

The infrared spectrum of $[CpFe(CS)(phen)]PF_6$ (see Table 12) is somewhat anomalous as it apparently has two v(CS) absorptions (1290 vs and 1299 m, sh cm⁻¹). However, the shoulder at 1299 cm⁻¹ occurs at the same position as the v(CS) absorption of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$. So it appears that the o-phenanthroline ligand dissociates somewhat in the acetonitrile IR solvent.

Triphenylphosphine, $P\phi_3$, also will displace two CH_3CN ligands from [CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)₂]PF₆ to form [CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)₂]PF₆. With stoichiometric

 $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6 + 2 P_{\phi_3} \xrightarrow{CH_2Cl_2} [CpFe(CS)(P_{\phi_3})_2]PF_6$

 $[CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)(CH_3CN)]PF_6 [77]$

amounts of reactants, only 34% of the theoretical yield of $[CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)_2]PF_6$ is obtained. The bright orange air-stable complex crystallizes from $CH_2Cl_2/benzene$ as the benzene monosolvate, $[CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)_2]PF_6 \cdot C_6H_6$. Without the benzene present, the complex does not crystallize but forms a thick oil. A red oil is the other product of the reaction, and from spectral evidence appears to be $[CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)(CH_3CN)]PF_6$ (IR (CH_3CN) : 1292 vs, 1193 m, 1121 m, and 1095 m cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone): τ 7.92 (br, s, CH_3CN), 5.02 (s, Cp), 2.08-3.00 (m, $P\phi_3$). The ¹H NMR spectrum was not clean and the assignments are only tentative).

The ligands trimethylphosphite and triphenylphosphite only displace one acetonitrile ligand from $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ to form $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)(P\{OMe\}_3)]PF_6$ and $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)(P\{0\phi\}_3)]PF_6$, respectively (see Table 12 for spectral data). Both complexes form airstable orange crystals, with $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)(P\{0\phi\}_3)]PF_6$ crystallizing as the mono-benzene solvate.

$$[CpFe(CS)(CH_{3}CN)_{2}]PF_{6} + L \xrightarrow{CH_{2}Cl_{2}} [CpFe(CS)(CH_{3}CN)(L)]PF_{6} + CH_{3}CN [78]$$

L = P(OMe)₃, P(0 ϕ)₃

Triethylphosphine reacts with $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ in CH_2Cl_2 to form three compounds -- CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)Cl, $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)(PEt_3)]PF_6$ and $[CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)_2]PF_6$. The presence of the unexpected

$$[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6 + 2 PEt_3 \xrightarrow{CH_2C1_2} CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)C1 + [CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)(PEt_3)]PF_6 + [CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)_2]PF_6 [79]$$

 $CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)C1$ can be explained by PEt_3 reacting with the CH_2Cl_2 solvent to form a phosphonium salt, $[Et_3PCH_2C1]^+C1^-$. The free chloride ion then can react with either $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)(PEt_3)]PF_6$ or $[CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)_2]PF_6$ to form the $CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)C1$. Alternatively, the chloride ion can attack the starting material giving $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)C1$ which subsequently undergoes ligand replacement to give $CpFe(CS)(PEt_3)C1$.

The number of acetonitrile ligands displaced in $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ increases with the nucleophilicity of the Group VA ligand. The phosphites react to replace one CH_3CN ligand, while the more nucleophilic phosphines are able to replace the second acetonitrile ligand to a certain extent.

	IR ^a		1 _{H NMR} b
L	ν(CS), cm ⁻¹	Ср, т	Other resonances, τ
ch ₃ cn	1298	4.84	7.48 (CH ₃ CN)
PEt ₃	1292	4.84 (d, J=1.0 Hz)	7.52 (d, J=1.0 Hz, CH ₃ CH), 7.67-8.18 (m, -CH ₂ -), 8.48-9.07 (m, -CH ₃)
P(OMe) ₃	1304	4.81 (d, J=0.8 Hz)	6.15 (d, J=11.7 Hz, P(OMe) ₃), 7.55 (d, J=0.7 Hz, CH ₃ CN)
P(0¢) ₃	1312	5.28 (d, J=0.8 Hz)	2.40-2.92 (m, P(O _{\$}) ₃), 7.67 (d, J=1.1 Hz, CH ₃ CN)

Table 11. Infrared and ¹H NMR spectra of [CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)(L)]PF₆

^aCH₃CN solvent. ^bd⁶-Acetone solvent.

	IR ^a	<u>*</u>	¹ H NMR ^b
(L) ₂	$v(CS), cm^{-1}$	Cp, τ	Other resonances, τ
(P _{\$43})2 ^C	1298	5.10 (t, J=1.3 Hz)	2.38-2.93 (m, φ)
bipy ^C	1293	4.65	1.00-2.62 (m, bipy)
phen ^C 129	0 vs; 12 9 9 m,	sh 4.58	0.65-2.12 (m, phen)
(PEt ₃)2 ^{c,d}	1299	4.77 (t, J=1.3 Hz)	7.67-8.18 (m, -CH ₂ -),
			8. 48-9.09 (m, -CH ₃)
$(P(0_{\phi})_{3})_{2}^{d,e}$	1 323	5.16 (t, J=1.0 Hz)	2.33-2.80 (m, ϕ) ^f
(P(OMe) ₃) ₂ ^d ,	e 1308	4.69 (t, J=1.0 Hz)	5.90-6.27 (m, Me) ^f
(Me ₂ S)2 ^C	1287	4.78	7.60 (Me)
Bu ₂ s ^{d,e}	1287	4.70	6.90-7.32 (m, S-CH ₂ -), ^f
			8.08-9.25 (m,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃) ^f

Table 12. Infrared and ¹H NMR spectra of $[CpFe(CS)(L)_2]X$ complexes

^aCH₃CN solvent.

^bd⁶-Acetone solvent.

 $c_{\chi} = PF_6$.

^dComplex was not isolated.

 $e_X = CF_3SO_3$.

 $f_{\text{Resonances}}$ are mixed with those of the monosubstituted cation, [CpFe(CS)(CO)(L)]⁺.

When $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ is reacted with KI in CH_3CN , spectral evidence suggests that $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ (IR (CH_3CN) : 1277 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD_3CN) : τ 5.22 (Cp)) is formed. The complex is air-stable in solution; however, attempts to isolate it were unsuccessful, and resulted in the unexpected product, $CpFe(CS)_2I$. Even extraction of

[80]

the CH_3CN solution of $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ with CS_2 results in rapid formation of $CpFe(CS)_2I$ rather than transfer of $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ to the nonpolar solvent. It appears that a decrease in the CH_3CN concentration either by extraction into CS_2 or evaporation to dryness results in the formation of $CpFe(CS)_2I$. The $CpFe(CS)_2I$ complex can also be prepared by reaction of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ with KI in other solvents (acetone and methanol); however, the existence of CpFe(CS)(S)Iintermediates in these solvents has not been confirmed due to the opaqueness of the thiocarbonyl region in infrared spectra taken in these solvents.

Reaction of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ with KBr in CH_3CN gives $CpFe(CS)_2Br$ in an analogous manner; however, the yields are poor.

The mechanism of the formation of $CpFe(CS)_2I$ from $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ is unclear. One possibility would involve CS dissociation from $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$; then the free CS ligand would displace the CH_3CN ligand from a second $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ molecule to give $CpFe(CS)_2I$

^{cs} 2		
	<u></u>	¹ _{H NMR}
X	v(CS), cm ⁻¹	Ср, т
Ι	1337 s, 1274 vs	4.95
Br	1341 s, 1276 vs	

Table 13. Infrared and ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of the CpFe(CS)₂X complexes in CS₂

(as in the following equations):

$$CS + CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I \longrightarrow CpFe(CS)_2I + CH_3CN$$
 [82]

However, this sequence is unlikely in view of the apparent stability of CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)I in solution. The instability of free CS would also argue against this mechanism.

Another mechanism would involve formation of a binuclear complex from CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)I, which subsequently decomposes to CpFe(CS)₂I:



However, any discussion of a mechanism for the formation of $CpFe(CS)_2I$ at this point is speculative and must await further evidence.

[83]

Confirmation of CpFe(CS)(CH₃CN)I as the intermediate in the formation of CpFe(CS)₂I comes from the reaction of the intermediate with $P\phi_3$ to form CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)I. This is the best method of preparing CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)I, and probably other CpFe(CS)(L)I complexes, although only the triphenylphosphine complex has been prepared by this procedure.

The preparation of CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)I from [CpFe(CO)₂(CS)]PF₆ can be conducted in a single flask by 1) irradiating to produce

121

122

 $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$, 2) adding KI to form CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I, and 3) finally adding the neutral ligand, $P\phi_3$, to form CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)I:

$$[CpFe(CO)_{2}(CS)]PF_{6} \xrightarrow{hv} CH_{3}CN > [CpFe(CS)(CH_{3}CN)_{2}]PF_{6}$$

$$\downarrow KI$$

$$CpFe(CS)(CH_{3}CN)I$$

$$\downarrow P\phi_{3}$$

$$CpFe(CS)(P\phi_{3})I$$

The only contaminants in the product are CpFe(CO)(CS)I and CpFe(CO)₂I, probably formed by the attack of I⁻ on what $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ remains after irradiation. These are easily separated from CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)I by crystallization or column chromatography.

[84]

Reaction of KCN with $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ in methanol gives a brown solution. The residue resulting from evaporating the solution to dryness dissolves in CH_3CN giving a yellow solution whose infrared spectrum suggests the presence of an unknown thiocarbonyl species $(IR (CH_3CN): 2097 \text{ s}, 2057 \text{ w}, 1954 \text{ w} \text{ and } 1278 \text{ vs cm}^{-1})$. The same substance is obtained from the reaction of KCN with CpFe(CO)(CS)Br. By analogy to the carbonyl system, where $CpFe(CO)_2Br$ reacts with KCN to yield $K[CpFe(CO)(CN)_2]$ (119), one would expect the unknown thiocarbonyl species to be $K[CpFe(CS)(CN)_2]$. Attempts to crystallize the compound were unsuccessful, and it was not characterized further. Several attempts were made to prepare thiocarbonyl complexes by reaction of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ with reducing agents. With NaH, no reaction was apparent. With Na/Hg and sodium naphthalide, a reaction occurred; however, no thiocarbonyl-containing products were apparent. With Zn/Hg, partial reaction occurred, and after subsequent treatment with MeI the only thiocarbonyl-containing products were CpFe(CO)(CS)I, CpFe(CS)₂I and unreacted $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$. Reaction with Mg/Hg (prepared from HgI₂ and Mg), on the other hand, gave a yellow product whose infrared spectrum strongly suggests a new thiocarbonyl species (IR (CS₂): 1320 s and 1259 vs cm⁻¹). The infrared band positions and intensities indicate the species is of the form CpFe(CS)₂X, possibly CpFe(CS)₂MgI or $[CpFe(CS)_2]M$ (M = Mg or Hg), although the product was not further characterized.

H. Miscellaneous Reactions

The substituted thiocarbonyl cations, $[CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)_2]PF_6$ and $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)(P\phi_3)]PF_6$, like $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]PF_6$ undergo nucleophilic substitution with the iodide ligand, in these cases producing $CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)I$.

Reaction of MeLi with CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)I in THF at -78°C gives a burgundy solution. The residue resulting from evaporation of this solution to dryness dissolves in CS₂ giving an air-sensitive burgundy solution whose infrared spectrum suggests the presence of a thiocarbonyl species (IR (CS₂): 1271 s, 1184 w, 1121 w, 1091 m and 1070 m cm⁻¹). The v(CS) absorption at 1271 cm⁻¹ coincides with the

Complex	CS	CO	Ср	Other resonances
CpFe(CO)(CS)I	-322.5	-212.5	-87.6	
CpFe(CO)(CS)0S0 ₂ CF ₃	-321.7	-207.8	-88.0	
[CpFe(CS)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]PF ₆	-329.4		-87.4	-222.6 (CN), 4.1 (Me)
CpFe(CS)(Pq ₃)I	-325.0 (d, J=37.2 Hz)		-87.1	-134.9, -132.9 (d, J = 7.4 Hz), -129.5, -127.3 (d, J = 8.9 Hz) (φ)
CpFe(CS)(P{OMe} ₃)I	-323.1 (d, J = 55.1 Hz)	***	-86.5	-54.0 (d, J=4.3 Hz, Me)
CpFe(CS)(PEt ₃)I	-324.4 (d, J=37.8 Hz)		-85.7	21.0 (d, J=2.1 Hz, -CH ₂ -), 8.4 (-CH ₃)
CpFe(CS) ₂ I	-323.4		-90.6	

Table 14. ¹³C NMR spectra of some cyclopentadienylthiocarbonyl complexes of iron^a

^aChemical shifts in ppm downfield from TMS, CDCl₃ solvent and internal standard.

 $\nu(CS)$ absorption of the starting material, CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)I. The product of the reaction was expected to be CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)Me, by analogy to the reaction of CpFe(CO)(P ϕ_3)I with MeLi to give CpFe(CO)(P ϕ_3)Me (120). However, the physical evidence (color and air-sensitivity) and infrared spectrum agree with neither CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)I or CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)Me (the $\nu(CS)$ absorption of CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)Me should be lower than that of CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)I). The product was not further characterized.

Reaction of CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)I with AgNO₃ in CH₂Cl₂ appears to produce CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)NO₃ (IR (CS₂): 1290 vs, 1260 s, 1252 vs cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CS₂): τ 5.45 (d, J = 1 Hz, Cp), 2.28-2.92 (m, ϕ); the assignment of a v(CS) absorption in the IR spectrum was not possible due to the presumed presence of v(NO) absorptions of the NO₃⁻ ligand). Attempts to separate the CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)NO₃ from unreacted CpFe(CS)(P ϕ_3)I by fractional crystallization were unsuccessful, and the complex was not further characterized.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The cyclopentadienylthiocarbonyl complexes of iron described herein, along with those described by Quick (61), greatly expand the number and kind of known iron thiocarbonyl complexes. As with the chemistry of other thiocarbonyl complexes, some of the results were expected and paralleled known reactions of analogous carbonyl complexes or known reactions of thiocarbonyl complexes. In other cases, the results were unexpected.

One interesting product, $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, came from the reaction of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ with NaH. The thiocarbonyl ligand in this dimer shows a strong preference for the bridging position, as recently found in other binuclear thiocarbonyl complexes. The bridging thiocarbonyl ligands appear to bind the two halves of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ stronger than in $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$. This is evident in its shorter Fe-Fe distance and in its rate of cis-trans isomerization which is markedly slower than found in the all-carbonyl analog, $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$.

Like electron-rich terminal thiocarbonyl ligands, bridging thiocarbonyl groups form Lewis acid adducts at the sulfur atom. The $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ dimer forms Lewis acid adducts at one of its sulfur atoms with both inorganic (HgCl₂) and alkyl (CH₃⁺) Lewis acids. The formation of other Lewis acid adducts involving a bridging thiocarbonyl ligand has been studied by Quick (61) using $[Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(CS)]$.

Oxidative cleavage of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ has been shown to be more difficult than for $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, testifying to the stronger binding of

126

the two halves of the molecule by the bridging thiocarbonyl ligands. The mechanism of the reaction with halogens to give CpFe(CO)(CS)X appears to proceed in a similar manner to that of the reaction of halogens with $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$.

Reduction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, unlike the reduction of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, appears not to cleave the dimer. However, the identity of the reduction product is not clear, and appears to depend upon the reducing agent and the stoichiometry of the reagents.

Photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ has been shown to be an effective method for selectively removing one or two carbonyl ligands. Photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]CF_3SO_3$ in CH_2Cl_2 gives $CpFe(CO)(CS)CF_3SO_3$ which is useful in preparing CpFe(CO)(CS)X and $[CpFe(CO)(CS)(L)]^+$ complexes. And, photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ in CH_3CN yields $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]^+$ from which $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)(L)]^+$ and $[CpFe(CS)(L)_2]^+$ complexes can be prepared. Also, the $[CpFe(CS)(Me_2S)_2]^+$ complex has been prepared by the photolysis of $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]^+$ in the presence of Me_2S in acetone.

The CpFe(CO)(CS)I complex has been shown to undergo thermal CO substitution to give CpFe(CS)(L)I complexes. The preference for CO substitution in CpFe(CO)(CS)I indicates that the M-CS bond is stronger than the M-CO bond in this system.

The novel complex $CpFe(CS)_2I$ is the end product of the reaction of $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]^+$ with KI. The reaction apparently proceeds through a $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ intermediate, which has been confirmed by spectral evidence and its reaction with $P\phi_3$ to give $CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)I$. However,

the mechanism by which $CpFe(CS)_2I$ is formed is open to question. The synthetic route through $CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)I$ is the best method of preparing $CpFe(CS)(P\phi_3)I$.

The preparation and properties of these thiocarbonyl complexes offers further insight into the chemistry of metal thiocarbonyl complexes. In particular, the synthesis and properties of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$, and the crystal structure of <u>cis</u>- $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ have demonstrated the stability of the bridging CS ligand. Also, photolysis has been shown to be an effective method for selectively removing carbonyl ligands from metal carbonyl-thiocarbonyl complexes.

VI. SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

There are many areas uncovered by this research which warrant investigation. Some of these have undergone preliminary investigation while others became apparent in retrospect.

A study of the cis-trans isomerization of [CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ would shed light on the mechanism of this isomerization. Also, it may help explain the stability that bridging thiocarbonyl ligands impart to binding the two halves of the molecule together.

A detailed study of the kinetics of the reaction of CH_3I with <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>-[CpFe(CO)(CS)]₂ may indicate why only <u>cis</u>-[Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₂(CS)(CS-Me)]⁺ is formed. There is no apparent steric preference for the cis isomer.

The reduction of $[CpFe(CO)(CS)]_2$ also poses questions. The identity of the reaction products is not apparent. The fact that something unexpected is occurring in these reactions would make their study worthwhile.

The substituted cyclopentadienyliron thiocarbonyl cations, $[CpFe(CS)(CO)(L)]^{+}$ and $[CpFe(CS)(L)_{2}]^{+}$, offer new starting materials for other iron thiocarbonyl complexes. For example, reaction with NaH may give the substituted dimers, $[CpFe(CS)(L)]_{2}$, or attack the cyclopentadienyl ring to give $(C_{5}H_{6})Fe(CS)(L)_{2}$.

Preliminary reactions indicate that it may be possible to abstract I⁻ from CpFe(CS)($P\phi_3$)I. Thus, the CpFe(CS)(L)I complexes may form starting materials for CpFe(CS)(L)R complexes,

129

 $[CpFe(CS)(L)(olefin)]^+$ complexes, and mixed-metal thiocarbonyl dimers $(\underline{i}.\underline{e}., CpFe(CS)(L)Co(CO)_4).$

Finally, the formation of $CpFe(CS)_2I$ from $[CpFe(CS)(CH_3CN)_2]^+$ and KI raises questions as to the mechanism of its formation. And it also offers potential routes to other dithiocarbonyl complexes of iron.

VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1.	Baird, M. C.; Wilkinson, G. <u>Chem</u> . <u>Commun</u> . 1966, 9, 267.
2.	Schutzenberger, P. <u>Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris</u> 1868, 66, 666.
3.	Butler, I. S.; Fenster, A. E. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1974, 66(2), 161.
4.	Yaneff, P. V. <u>Coord</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Rev</u> . 1977, 23(2), 183.
5.	Butler, I. S. <u>Acc</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Res</u> . 1977, 10(10), 359.
6.	Dewar, J.; Jones, H. O. <u>Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) Ser. A</u> 1910, A83, 526.
7.	Steudel, R. Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem. 1968, 361, 180.
8.	Klabunde, K. J.; White, C. M.; Efner, H. F. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1974, 13(7), 1778.
9.	Dombek, B. D. Ph.D. Dissertation, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 1975 (and references therein).
10.	Hancock, G.; Smith, I. <u>Chem</u> . <u>Phys</u> . <u>Lett</u> . 1969, 3, 573.
11.	Hildenbrand, D. L. <u>Chem</u> . <u>Phys</u> . <u>Lett</u> . 1972, 15(3), 379.
12.	Taylor, G. W. <u>J</u> . <u>Phys</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1973, 77(1), 124.
13.	Harteck, P.; Reeves, R. <u>Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg</u> . 1962, 71, 682.
14.	Turner, B. E. <u>Sci</u> . <u>Amer</u> . Mar. 1973, 228, 51.
15.	Richardson, R. J.; Powell, H. T.; Kelley, J. D. <u>J</u> . <u>Phys</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1973, 77(22), 2601.
16.	Hoeft, J.; Lovas, F. J.; Tiemann, E.; Törring, T. <u>J</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Phys</u> . 1970, 53(7), 2736.
17.	Winnewisser, G.; Cook, R. L. <u>J</u> . <u>Molecular Spect</u> . 1968, 28, 266.
18.	Mockler, R. C.; Bird, G. R. <u>Phys</u> . <u>Rev</u> . 1955, 98(6), 1837.
19.	Herzberg, G. "Spectra of Diatomic Molecules"; D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc.: Princeton, N.J., 1966.
20.	Knight, H. T.; Rink, J. P. <u>J</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Phys</u> . 1958, 29, 449.

21.	Frost, D. C.; Lee, S. T.; McDowell, C. A. <u>Chem</u> . <u>Phys</u> . <u>Lett</u> . 1972, 17(2), 153.
22.	Steudel, R. <u>Angew</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Int</u> . <u>Ed</u> . <u>Engl</u> . 1967, 6(7), 635.
23.	Jeffers, W. Q.; Wiswall, C. E.; Kelley, J. D.; Richardson, R. J. <u>Appl. Phys</u> . <u>Lett</u> . 1973, 22, 587.
24.	Richards, W. G. <u>Trans</u> . <u>Faraday</u> <u>Soc</u> . 1967, 63, 257.
25.	Lichtenberger, D. L.; Fenske, R. F. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 15(9), 2015.
26.	Burger, K.; Korecz, L.; Mag, P.; Belluco, U.; Busetto, L. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chim</u> . <u>Acta</u> 1971, 5(3), 362.
27.	Butler, I. S.; Johansson, D. A. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1975, 14(3), 701.
28.	Andrew, M. A. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1977, 16(2), 496.
29.	Bodner, G. M. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1974, 13(11), 2563.
30.	Cozak, D.; Butler, I. S. <u>Spectrosc</u> . <u>Lett</u> . 1976, 9(10), 673.
31.	Woodard, S. S.; Angelici, R. J.; Dombek, B. D. <u>Inorg. Chem</u> . 1978, 17(6), 1634.
32.	Efraty, A.; Huang, M. H. A.; Weston, C. A. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1975, 14(11), 2796.
33.	Efraty, A.; Liebman, D.; Huang, M. H. A.; Weston, C. A.; Angelici, R. J. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1978, 17(10), 2831.
34.	Woodard, S. S.; Jacobson, R. A.; Angelici, R. J. <u>J. Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 117(3), C75, and references therein.
35.	Dunker, J. W.; Finer, J. S.; Clardy, J.; Angelici, R. J. J. <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 114, C49.
36.	Beckman, D. E.; Jacobson, R. A. <u>J. Organometal. Chem</u> . 1979, 179, 187.
37.	Kolln, W. S. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT; <u>Diss</u> . <u>Abstr. Int</u> . <u>B</u> 1978, 39(2), 771.
38.	Yarbrough, L. W., III; Calder, G. V.; Verkade, J. G, <u>J. Chem. Soc.</u> , <u>Chem. Comm.</u> 1972, 705.
39.	Yagupsky, M. P.; Wilkinson, G. J. <u>Chem. Soc. A</u> 1968, 2813.

40.	Fenster, A. E.; Butler, I. S. <u>Can</u> . <u>J</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1972, 50, 598.
41.	Busetto, L.; Belluco, U.; Angelici, R. J. <u>J. Organometal. Chem</u> . 1969, 18(1), 213.
42.	Dombek, B. D.; Angelici, R. J. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Synth</u> . 1977, 17, 100.
43.	Grundy, K. R.; Harris, R. O.; Roper, W. R. <u>J. Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1975, 90(2), C34.
44.	Collins, T. J.; Roper, W. R.; Town, K. G. <u>J. Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 121(2), C41.
45.	Dombek, B. D.; Angelici, R. J. <u>J</u> . <u>Amer</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1973, 95(22), 7516.
46.	Dombek, B. D.; Angelici, R. J. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 15(5), 1089.
47.	Mansuy, D.; Buttioni, J. P.; Chottard, J. C. <u>J</u> . <u>Amer</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1978, 100(13), 4311.
48.	Kubota, M.; Curtis, C. J. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1974, 13(9), 2277.
49.	Busetto, L.; Angelici, R. J. J. <u>Amer</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1968, 90(12), 3283.
50.	Lisy, J. M.; Dobrzynski, E. D.; Angelici, R. J.; Clardy, J. <u>J. Amer</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1975, 97(3), 656
51.	Wagner, R. E.; Jacobson, R. A.; Angelici, R. J.; Quick, M. H. J. <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1978, 148, C35.
52.	Butler, I. S.; Fenster, A. E. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chim</u> . <u>Acta</u> 1973, 7(1), 79.
53.	Busetto, L.; Palazzi, A. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chim</u> . <u>Acta</u> 1976, 19(3), 233.
54.	Coville, N. J.; Butler, I. S. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1974, 64, 101.
55.	Sime, W. J.; Stephenson, R. A. <u>J</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> ., <u>Dalton</u> <u>Trans</u> . 1979, 1045.
56.	Uson, R.; Lahuerta. P.; Carmona. D.; Oro, L. A.; Hildenbrand, K. J. Organometal. Chem. 1978, 157(1), 63.
57.	Tresoldi, G.; Faraone, F.; Piraino, P. <u>J. Chem. Soc., Dalton</u> <u>Trans</u> . 1979, 1053.
58.	Faraone, F.; Tresoldi, G.; Loprete G. A. <u>J. Chem</u> . <u>Soc., Dalton</u> Trans. 1979, 933.

59.	Jaouen, G.; Dabard, R. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1974, 72, 377.
60.	English, A. M., Plowman, K R.; Butler I. S.; Jaouen, G.; LeMaux, P. Thepot, JY. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1977, 132(1), Cl.
61.	Quick, M. H. Ph.D. Dissertation, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, 1978.
62.	Simonneaux, G.; Meyer, R.; Jaouen, G. <u>J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm</u> . 1975, 69.
63.	Pickering, R. A.; Angelici, R. J. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1978, 17(7), 2035.
64.	Dombek, B. D.; Angelici, R. J. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 15(10), 2397.
65.	Efraty, A.; Arneri, R.; Ruda, W. A. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1977, 16(12), 3124.
66.	Collins, T. J.; Roper, W. R. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1975, 159, 73.
67.	Clark, G. R.; Collins, T. J.; Marsden, K.; Roper, W. R. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1978, 157(2), C23.
68.	Busetto, L.; Graziani, M.; Belluco, U. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1971, 10(1) 78.
69.	Wnuk, T. A.; Angelici, R. J. <u>Inorg</u> , <u>Chem</u> . 1977, 16(5), 1173.
70.	Dombek, B. D.; Angelici, R. J. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 15(10), 2403.
71.	Greaves, W. W. Ph.D. Dissertation, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, 1979.
72.	Kubota, M.; Chappell, T. G. J. <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc.</u> , <u>Dalton Trans</u> . 1979, 406.
73.	Butler, I. S.; Coville, N. J.; Cotak, D. <u>J. Organometal. Chem</u> . 1977, 133, 59.
74.	Quick, M. H.; Angelici, R. J. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1978, 160, 231.
75.	Efraty, A.; Arneri, R.; Huang. M. H. A. <u>J</u> . <u>Amer.</u> C <u>hem.</u> S <u>oc.</u> 1976, 98(2), 639.
76.	King, R. B. "Organometallic Syntheses. Vol. 1. Transition Metal Compounds"; Academic Press: New York, 1965; 114, 175.
77.	Adams, R. D.; Cotton, F. A. <u>J</u> . <u>Amer</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1973, 95(20), 6589.
78.	Bryan, R. F.; Greene, P. T.; Newlands, M. J.; Field, D. S.

79.	Bryan, R. F.; Greene, P. T. <u>J. Chem</u> . <u>Soc. A</u> 1970, 18, 3064.
80.	Haines, R. J.; du Preez, A. L. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1969, 8(7), 1459.
81.	Tripathi, S. C.; Srivastava, S. C.; Pandey, V. N. <u>Transition</u> <u>Metal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 1, 58.
82.	Hayter, R. G. <u>J</u> . <u>Amer</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1963, 85(20), 3120.
83.	King, R. B.; Treichel, P. M.; Stone, F. G. A. <u>J</u> . <u>Amer</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1961, 83(17), 3600.
84.	Kristoff, J. S.; Shriver, D. F. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1974, 13(3), 499.
85.	Alich, A.; Nelson, N. J.; Strope, D.; Shriver D. F. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1972, 11(12), 2976.
86.	King, R. B. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1966, 5(12), 2227.
87.	Symon, D. A.; Waddington, T. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1973, 18, 1879.
88.	Ferguson, J. A.; Meyer, T. J. <u>J. Amer. Chem.</u> <u>Soc</u> . 1972, 94(10), 3409.
89.	Thomas, J. C. U.S. Patent 2 849 471, 1958; <u>Chem. Abstr</u> . 1959, 53, P4298f.
90.	Piper, T. S.; Wilkinson, G. <u>J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem</u> . 1956, 2(1), 38.
91.	Dombek, B. D.; Angelici, R. J. <u>Inorg. Chim. Acta</u> 1973, 7(2) 345.
92.	Sloan, T. E.; Wojcicki, A. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1968, 7(7), 1268.
93.	Boyle, P. F.; Nicholas, K. M. <u>J. Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 114(3), 307.
94.	Johnson, E. C.; Meyer T. J.; Winterton, N. <u>Inorg. Chem</u> . 1971, 10(8), 1673.
95.	Johnson, E. C.; Meyer, T. J.; Winterton, N. J. <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc., D</u> , <u>Chem</u> . <u>Commun</u> . 1970, 15, 934.
96.	Williams, W. E.; Lalor, F. J. <u>J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans</u> . 1973, 13, 1329.
97.	Ferguson, J. A.; Meyer, T. J. <u>Inorg. Chem</u> . 1971, 10(5), 1025.
98.	Haines, R. J.; du Preez, A. L. <u>J</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . <u>A</u> 1970, 13, 2341.
99.	King, R. B. <u>J</u> . <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Nucl</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1963, 25(10), 1296.
--	--
100.	Ellis, J. E.; Flom E. A. <u>J. Organometal. Chem</u> . 1975, 99, 263.
101.	Quick, M. H. Iowa State University, private communication.
102.	Ellis, J. E. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1975, 86(1), 1.
103.	Ulmer, S. W.; Skarstad, P. M.; Burlitch, J. M.; Hughes, R. E. <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc</u> . 1973, 95(13), 4469.
104.	Giannotti, C.; Merle, G. J. <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 105(1), 97.
105.	Hudson, A.; Lappert, M. E.; Lednor, P. W.; Nicholson, B. E. J. <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc.</u> , <u>Chem</u> . <u>Commun</u> . 1974, 23, 966.
106.	Jetz, W.; Graham, W. A. G. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1971, 10(6), 1159.
107.	Cotton, J. D.; Trewin, AM. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1976, 117(1), 67.
108.	Treichel, P. M.; Shubkin, R. L.; Barnett, K. W.; Reichard, D. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1966, 5(7), 1177.
109.	Haines, R. J.; du Preez, A. L.; Marais, I. L. <u>J</u> . <u>Organometal</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1971, 28(3), 405.
110.	Brown, D. A.; Lyons, H. J.; Manning, A. R.; Rowley, J. M. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chim</u> . <u>Acta</u> 1969, 3(3), 346.
111.	Cullen, W. R.; Sams, J. R.; Thompson, J. A. J. <u>Inorg. Chem</u> . 1971, 10(4), 843.
112.	
	King, R. B., Pannell, K. H. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1968, 7(8), 1510.
113.	King, R. B., Pannell, K. H. <u>Inorg. Chem</u> . 1968, 7(8), 1510. Ahmad, M.; Bruce, R.; Knox G. R. <u>J. Organometal. Chem</u> . 1966, 6(1), 1.
113. 114.	<pre>King, R. B., Pannell, K. H. Inorg. Chem. 1968, 7(8), 1510.</pre> Ahmad, M.; Bruce, R.; Knox G. R. J. Organometal. Chem. 1966, 6(1), 1. Fischer, E. O.; Fichtel, K. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94(5), 1200.
113. 114. 115.	 King, R. B., Pannell, K. H. Inorg. Chem. 1968, 7(8), 1510. Ahmad, M.; Bruce, R.; Knox G. R. J. Organometal. Chem. 1966, 6(1), 1. Fischer, E. O.; Fichtel, K. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94(5), 1200. Fischer, E. O.; Fichtel, K. U.S. Patent 3 268 565, 1966; Chem. Abstr. 1967, 65, 17005.
113. 114. 115. 116.	 King, R. B., Pannell, K. H. <u>Inorg. Chem.</u> 1968, 7(8), 1510. Ahmad, M.; Bruce, R.; Knox G. R. <u>J. Organometal. Chem.</u> 1966, 6(1), 1. Fischer, E. O.; Fichtel, K. <u>Chem. Ber.</u> 1961, 94(5), 1200. Fischer, E. O.; Fichtel, K. U.S. Patent 3 268 565, 1966; <u>Chem. Abstr.</u> 1967, 65, 17005. Fischer, E. O.; Fichtel, K. <u>Chem. Ber.</u> 1962, 95(8), 2063.
 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 	 King, R. B., Pannell, K. H. Inorg. Chem. 1968, 7(8), 1510. Ahmad, M.; Bruce, R.; Knox G. R. J. Organometal. Chem. 1966, 6(1), 1. Fischer, E. O.; Fichtel, K. Chem. Ber. 1961, 94(5), 1200. Fischer, E. O.; Fichtel, K. U.S. Patent 3 268 565, 1966; Chem. Abstr. 1967, 65, 17005. Fischer, E. O.; Fichtel, K. Chem. Ber. 1962, 95(8), 2063. Fischer, E. O.; Moser, E. J. Organometal. Chem. 1965, 3(1), 16.

	<u>Chem</u> . 1976, 6(1), 1.
121.	Green, M. L. H.; Ishag, M.; Mole, T. <u>Z. Naturforsch</u> . 1965, 20b(6), 598.
122.	Joshi, K. K.; Pauson, P. L. <u>Z</u> . <u>Naturforsch</u> . 1962, 17b(8), 565.
123.	Kochhar, R. K.; Pettit, R. J. Organometal. Chem. 1966, 6(3), 272.
124.	Angelici, R. J.; Busetto, L. <u>J</u> . <u>Amer</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1969, 91(12), 3197.
125.	Davison, A.; Green, M. L. H.; Wilkinson G. <u>J. Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1961, 3172.
126.	Treichel, P. M.; Shubkin, R. L. <u>J. Organometal. Chem</u> . 1966, 5(5), 488.
127.	Treichel, P. M.; Shubkin, R. L. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1967, 6(7), 1328.
128.	Green, M. L. H.; Nagy, P. L. I. <u>J. Organometal. Chem</u> . 1963, 1(1), 58.
129.	Burlitch, J. M.; Ferrari, A. <u>Inorg</u> . <u>Chem</u> . 1970, 9(3), 563.
130.	King, R. B. <u>J</u> . <u>Amer</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1963, 85(13), 1918.
131.	Bonati, F.; Wilkinson, G. <u>J</u> . <u>Chem</u> . <u>Soc</u> . 1964, 179.
132.	Gansow, O. A.; Burke, A. R.; LaMar, G. N. <u>J. Chem. Soc., Chem</u> . <u>Commun</u> . 1972, 456.
133.	The crystallographic programs used were: Main, P.; Woolfson, M.; Germain, G. MULTAN, Department of Physics, University of York, York, England, 1971. Hubbard, C. R.; Quicksall, C. O.; Jacobson, R. A. The Fast Fourier Algorithm and the Programs ALFF, ALFFDP, ALFFT, and FRIEDEL, USAEC Report IS-2625, Iowa State University-Institute for Atomic Research, Ames, Iowa. 1971. Busing, W. R.; Martin, K. O.; Levy, H. A. A Fortran Crystallo- graphic Least Squares Program, USAEC Report ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 1965. Johnson, C. ORTEP, A Fortran Thermal-Ellipsoid Plot Program, USAEC Report ORNL-3794, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 1965.

- 119. Coffey, C. E. <u>J</u>. <u>Inorg</u>. <u>Nucl</u>. <u>Chem</u>. 1963, 25(2), 179.
- 120. Reger, D. L.; Culbertson, E. C. <u>Syn. React. Inorg. Metal-Org.</u> <u>Chem</u>. 1976, 6(1), 1.
- 137

- 134. Ottmers, D. M.; Rase, H. F. <u>Carbon</u> 1966, 4(1), 125.
- 135. Classon, W. D.; Wriede, P.; Bank, S. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88(7), 1581.
- 136. Fischer, R. D.; Vogler, A.; Noack, K. <u>J</u>. <u>Organometal</u>. <u>Chem</u>. 1967, 7(1), 135.
- 137. Manning, A. R. J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 6, 1319.
- 138. Bryan, R. F.; Greene, P. T.; Newlands, M. J.; Field, D. S. J. Chem. Soc. A 1970, 18, 3068.
- 139. Atwood, J. L.; Burns, J. H.; Laubereau, P. G. <u>J</u>. <u>Amer</u>. <u>Chem</u>. <u>Soc</u>. 1973, 95(6), 1830.
- 140. Wrighton, M. Chem. Rev. 1974, 74(4), 401.
- 141. Eaborn, C.; Farrell, N.; Murphy, J. L.; Pideock, A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 1, 58.
- 142. Storhoff, B. N.; Lewis, H. C., Jr. <u>Coord</u>. <u>Chem</u>. <u>Rev</u>. 1977, 23(1), 1.

The author is indebted to many people who over the years guided or influenced his education in some manner. Of particular note, he would like to thank:

His parents and family for their steadfast support and encouragement;

Dr. Lyle I. Gilbertson for an introduction to the joys of inorganic chemistry, and the many lessons on the profession of chemistry;

Dr. Robert J. Angelici for his assistance in the completion of this dissertation;

and his friends and fellow graduate students for their helpful discussions.